

Space & Astronomy | Jan 8, 2026 | 21 min read | By Bryan White

Artemis II: The Engineering, Operational, and Scientific Paradigm of Returning to Lunar Orbit

Space * Astronomy * Solar System

Abstract

The Artemis II mission, currently targeted for launch in early 2026, stands as the pivotal "gate" in the United States' and international partners' architecture for sustained deep space exploration. Distinct from the Apollo lunar sorties of the 20th century, which were driven by geopolitical urgency and utilized single-use architecture for short-duration stays, Artemis II is a validation flight for a reusable, long-term infrastructure designed to support a permanent human presence on the Moon and eventual sorties to Mars. This report provides a comprehensive, deep-dive analysis of the mission's technical baseline, grounded in the lessons learned from the uncrewed Artemis I test flight. It systematically deconstructs the Space Launch System (SLS) Block 1 vehicle architecture, the specific modifications to the Orion Multi-Purpose Crew Vehicle following the 2022 heat shield anomalies, and the novel "hybrid free-return" trajectory that characterizes the mission profile. Furthermore, it examines the physiological and psychological factors of the four-person crew -- Commander Reid Wiseman, Pilot Victor Glover, and Mission Specialists Christina Koch and Jeremy Hansen -- as they test critical life support and manual piloting systems in the high-radiation environment of the Van Allen belts. Through a synthesis of engineering specifications, orbital mechanics, and program management data, this document illuminates the complexity of the first crewed lunar flight in over half a century.

1. Introduction: The Renaissance of Lunar Exploration

The hiatus in human deep space exploration has lasted over five decades, a period in which human spaceflight was confined to Low Earth Orbit (LEO) aboard the Space Shuttle and the International Space Station (ISS). The Artemis program represents a strategic pivot back to the "high frontier," utilizing a distinct technological philosophy. While Apollo was a sprint, Artemis is a marathon; where Apollo sought to prove it could be done, Artemis seeks to prove it can be sustained.

Artemis II is the "crew certification" flight. Its primary objective is not to land, but to verify that the integrated systems -- launch vehicle, spacecraft, and ground operations -- can safely sustain human life in the hostile environment of cislunar space.[1] The mission is currently scheduled to launch no earlier than (NET) February 2026, a timeline adjusted to accommodate rigorous root-cause analysis of the Orion heat shield performance and life support system upgrades.[1] This delay from earlier targets underscores the program's adherence to a "safety-first" culture, prioritizing technical certainty over schedule pressure -- a lesson absorbed from the tragic losses of the Shuttle era.

The mission will see the first woman, the first person of color, and the first international partner (a Canadian) travel beyond LEO, marking a significant

evolution in the demographics of exploration.[3] However, the cultural milestones are underpinned by substantial engineering challenges. The vehicle must lift 27 metric tons to a Trans-Lunar Injection (TLI) trajectory, the life support must function independently for 10 days without the resupply capability available to the ISS, and the thermal protection system must withstand a re-entry velocity of 11 kilometers per second -- conditions that cannot be fully simulated on Earth.[4]

2. The Launch Vehicle: Space Launch System (SLS) Block 1

The heavy-lift capability required to send the Orion spacecraft and its service module to the Moon is provided by the Space Launch System (SLS), specifically the Block 1 configuration. This vehicle is a colossus of modern rocketry, standing 322 feet tall and weighing 5.75 million pounds when fully fueled.[6] It represents a synthesis of heritage hardware from the Space Shuttle Program -- leveraging known reliability -- with modern manufacturing and avionics.

2.1 The Core Stage and RS-25 Propulsion

The backbone of the SLS is the Core Stage, the largest rocket stage ever manufactured by NASA. It holds 537,000 gallons of liquid hydrogen (LH2) and 196,000 gallons of liquid oxygen (LOX) in cryogenic tanks.[7] The stage is powered by four RS-25 engines, the same engines that powered the Space Shuttle orbiters.

For Artemis II, these engines are not merely reused; they have been adapted for the extreme demands of an expendable heavy-lift mission. In the Shuttle era, the engines operated at 104.5% of their original rated power level. For SLS, they have been upgraded to operate at 109% thrust, providing approximately 2 million pounds of combined thrust at sea level.[8] This increase requires robust thermal management and structural reinforcement within the engine bells and turbopumps. The engines utilize a staged-combustion cycle, a highly efficient thermodynamic process where the propellants are partially burned in pre-burners to drive the high-pressure turbopumps before being injected into the main combustion chamber. This complexity allows the RS-25 to achieve a specific impulse (Isp) -- a measure of fuel efficiency -- that is among the highest for chemical rockets, essential for lofting the massive upper stage and spacecraft into orbit.

2.2 Solid Rocket Boosters (SRBs)

Providing the initial "kick" to overcome Earth's gravity are two five-segment Solid Rocket Boosters. These are derived from the four-segment boosters of the Shuttle but include an additional center segment containing the polybutadiene acrylonitrile (PBAN) propellant. This extra segment increases the total impulse, allowing the vehicle to lift the heavier payload.[8]

The internal geometry of the propellant "grain" (the shape of the hole running down the center of the booster) is engineered to tailor the thrust profile. At ignition, the surface area is maximized to provide peak thrust of 3.6 million pounds per booster. As the vehicle accelerates and passes through the region of maximum dynamic pressure (Max Q), the burning surface area naturally decreases (due to the grain geometry), reducing thrust to prevent structural overload on the vehicle. This "thrust tail-off" is a critical passive safety feature engineered directly into the

chemical propellant.[8]

2.3 The Interim Cryogenic Propulsion Stage (ICPS)

Stacked atop the Core Stage is the ICPS, built by United Launch Alliance. It is a modified version of the Delta Cryogenic Second Stage (DCSS) used on the Delta IV rocket. Powered by a single Aerojet Rocketdyne RL10B-2 engine, the ICPS is a high-energy upper stage fueled by liquid hydrogen and oxygen.[9]

The RL10 engine utilizes an expander cycle, where the cryogenic fuel is routed through the walls of the nozzle to cool it. As the fuel absorbs heat, it expands from a liquid into a gas, which then drives the turbine that pumps the fuel and oxidizer into the combustion chamber. This cycle is inherently safe and reliable because the pump speed is self-limiting based on the heat available. On Artemis I, the ICPS performed the Trans-Lunar Injection (TLI) burn. However, for Artemis II, its role has been altered significantly to support the crewed test profile, specifically executing the Perigee Raise Maneuver (PRM) and the Apogee Raise Burn (ARB) to place Orion into a high checkout orbit, rather than sending it directly to the Moon.[10]

2.4 Mobile Launcher 1 (ML-1) and Ground Systems

The launch infrastructure at Kennedy Space Center has undergone significant modification to support the crewed Artemis II mission. Mobile Launcher 1 (ML-1) has been outfitted with a new Emergency Egress System (EES). In the event of a catastrophic anomaly on the pad prior to launch, the crew and ground personnel can escape via baskets suspended on slide wires, similar to gondolas, which travel from the top of the tower to a safe bunker at the pad perimeter.[11] This system was not required for the uncrewed Artemis I but is a mandatory safety certification for Artemis II.

Additionally, the ground systems teams have repaired damage sustained by the ML-1 elevators and pneumatic lines during the powerful launch of Artemis I. The blast deflectors and flame trench conditioning systems have been reinforced to handle the acoustic and thermal loads of the 8.8 million pounds of thrust generated at liftoff.[13]

3. The Orion Spacecraft: Architecture and Systems

The Orion Multi-Purpose Crew Vehicle (MPCV) is the habitat, command center, and lifeboat for the Artemis II crew. It consists of the Crew Module (CM) and the European Service Module (ESM).

3.1 The Crew Module: Integrity

The Crew Module, named Integrity for this mission, is a pressurized vessel constructed from aluminum-lithium alloy. It provides approximately 330 cubic feet (9 cubic meters) of habitable volume.[14] While this volume is significantly larger than the Apollo Command Module (which had roughly 210 cubic feet), it remains a confined space for four adults on a 10-day voyage.

The module features a "glass cockpit" avionics system, a significant departure from the switch-heavy panels of Apollo. Three main display screens provide the crew with

system health data, navigation plots, and electronic checklists. For Artemis II, the software has been updated to support manual piloting modes, allowing the Commander and Pilot to take control of the spacecraft's translation and rotation -- a capability that will be rigorously tested during the mission.[15]

3.2 The European Service Module (ESM)

The ESM, provided by the European Space Agency (ESA) and built by Airbus, is a critical component that distinguishes Orion from previous NASA spacecraft. It provides propulsion, power, thermal control, and consumables (water and air).[17]

The ESM propulsion system is redundant and diverse, consisting of 33 engines:

- * Main Engine: A single AJ10-190 engine, repurposed from the Space Shuttle's Orbital Maneuvering System (OMS). It produces 25.7 kN (approx. 6,000 lbf) of thrust and can gimbal (swivel) to steer the spacecraft. On Artemis II, this engine is responsible for the Trans-Lunar Injection (TLI) burn, a critical maneuver that sends the crew out of Earth orbit.[18]

- * Auxiliary Thrusters: Eight Aerojet R-4D-11 engines (490 N each) located on the periphery of the module. These serve as a backup to the main engine; if the OMS fails, these thrusters can be fired in combination to perform return burns.

- * Reaction Control System (RCS): Twenty-four smaller thrusters arranged in pods, used for fine attitude control and docking maneuvers.[18]

Power is generated by four solar array wings, arranged in an "X" configuration. Each wing extends 7 meters and contains three panels of gallium arsenide solar cells. The arrays can articulate to track the Sun for maximum power generation (11.2 kW total) or feather (edge-on) to reduce drag and structural load during main engine burns.[17]

4. The Heat Shield Challenge: Investigation and Resolution

Following the Artemis I mission in late 2022, engineers discovered an anomaly in the performance of Orion's heat shield that threatened to derail the Artemis II timeline. The resolution of this issue is a central technical narrative of the mission's preparation.

4.1 The Avcoat Anomaly: Spallation vs. Ablation

The Orion heat shield utilizes Avcoat, an epoxy novolac resin injected into a fiberglass honeycomb matrix. This is an ablative material; it is designed to burn away during re-entry. The physical process of ablation involves pyrolysis, where the resin heats up and decomposes into a gas, forming a charred layer of carbon. This char layer acts as an insulator, while the outgassing carries heat away from the vehicle.[21]

On Artemis I, post-flight inspection revealed that the char layer did not erode smoothly as predicted. Instead, chunks of the char material cracked and broke off -- a phenomenon known as "spallation." This left potholes in the heat shield surface.[21] While the spacecraft remained safe and internal temperatures did not exceed limits, the loss of material reduced the safety margin and introduced unpredictability into the thermal protection system's performance.

4.2 Root Cause Analysis: Permeability and Gas Entrapment

NASA launched an exhaustive, multi-year investigation to determine the root cause. The finding, released in late 2024, pointed to a complex interaction between the material properties of the Avcoat and the specific trajectory flown.[22]

The investigation determined that the Avcoat material was not sufficiently permeable. As the resin heated and turned to gas deep inside the heat shield, that gas needed to escape through the pores of the char layer. However, during the "skip entry" maneuver used on Artemis I, the spacecraft dipped into the atmosphere and then rose back up to a higher altitude. During this "skip" or dwell phase, the external pressure on the heat shield dropped, while the spacecraft was still hot. The trapped gases inside the Avcoat, unable to vent quickly enough through the low-permeability material, created an internal pressure that exceeded the structural strength of the char layer. This internal pressure literally blew the char off the surface, causing the spallation.[23]

4.3 The Operational Fix: Trajectory Modification

With the Artemis II heat shield already manufactured and installed on the crew module, replacing it with a reformulated, more permeable material would have caused a delay of several years. Instead, NASA engineers devised an operational solution.

For Artemis II, the mission will utilize a modified re-entry trajectory. The guidance software will fly a profile that "constrains the downtrack" -- essentially altering the skip maneuver to maintain a higher external pressure on the heat shield or minimize the time spent in the low-pressure/high-heat-soak regime.[25] By keeping the external pressure higher, the pressure differential between the trapped internal gas and the outside environment is reduced, suppressing the mechanism that causes spallation. While this modification slightly reduces the cross-range capability (the ability to steer left or right to reach a specific landing point), it ensures the thermal protection system operates within a safe envelope without requiring hardware changes.[25]

5. The Artemis II Mission Profile: A Hybrid Free-Return Trajectory

The operational profile of Artemis II is fundamentally different from both Artemis I and the Apollo missions. It is designed as a "risk-reduction" flight, introducing a novel checkout orbit before committing the crew to the Moon.

5.1 Launch and Orbit Insertion

The mission begins with liftoff from Pad 39B. The SLS Block 1 delivers the Orion and ICPS stack into a preliminary elliptical Low Earth Orbit (LEO) of approximately 100 x 1,200 nautical miles (185 x 2,220 km).[1]

5.2 The High Earth Orbit (HEO) Checkout

Approximately 40 minutes after launch, the ICPS performs a Perigee Raise Maneuver (PRM). Then, about 90 minutes into the flight (after one orbit), the ICPS fires again for the Apogee Raise Burn (ARB). This critical maneuver sends Orion into a High Earth Orbit (HEO) with an apogee of approximately 42,000 miles (68,000 km) and

a period of roughly 42 hours.[1]

Operational Rationale:

This HEO is a stroke of mission planning genius. It keeps the spacecraft gravitationally bound to Earth with a period of less than two days.

* Life Support Verification: It allows the crew to test the ECLSS (Environmental Control and Life Support System) in a deep space environment while still being able to return home quickly (free return) if a failure occurs.

* Radiation Profiling: The orbit passes through the Van Allen radiation belts, allowing instruments to measure shielding effectiveness before the lunar transit.[28]

* Proximity Operations: In this orbit, Orion separates from the ICPS. The crew then manually pilots Orion to turn around, approach the spent ICPS (which acts as a passive target), and perform close-proximity maneuvers.[15] This "Prox Ops" demo validates the manual handling qualities of the spacecraft (mass, inertia, thruster response) required for future docking with the Lunar Gateway or Starship landers.

5.3 Trans-Lunar Injection (TLI) and Lunar Flyby

Once the 42-hour checkout is complete and the "Go" decision is made, the ICPS is discarded. The Orion Service Module's main engine then fires to perform the Trans-Lunar Injection (TLI) burn.[1] This is a major difference from Artemis I, where the ICPS performed TLI. Using the ESM for this burn proves that the service module can push the heavy crew capsule out of Earth's gravity well.

The spacecraft enters a "hybrid free-return trajectory." This path takes Orion roughly 4,600 miles (7,400 km) beyond the far side of the Moon.[3] Gravity from the Moon bends the trajectory back toward Earth. If the engine fails after TLI, the spacecraft will naturally loop around the Moon and return to Earth entry interface without requiring significant propulsive maneuvers -- a critical safety redundancy for the first crewed flight.

During the lunar flyby, the crew will travel approximately 230,000 miles from Earth, and at their furthest point (apogee relative to Earth), they will be nearly 280,000 miles away -- setting a new record for the furthest distance humans have ever traveled from Earth, surpassing the Apollo 13 record.[29]

5.4 Return and Skip Entry

The return journey takes approximately four days. Upon reaching Earth, the Service Module separates, and the Crew Module orients for re-entry. The entry velocity is roughly 24,500 mph (Mach 32).

Artemis II will demonstrate the "skip entry" technique. Unlike Apollo capsules, which plummeted directly through the atmosphere, Orion will dip into the upper atmosphere to shed velocity, generating lift to "skip" back up out of the dense air (cooling briefly), and then descend for a final splashdown.[30] This technique allows for:

* G-Force Mitigation: It spreads the deceleration loads over a longer period, reducing the peak G-force on the crew.

* Precision Landing: It extends the downrange travel, allowing the spacecraft to land precisely at the recovery site off the coast of San Diego, regardless of the relative positions of the Earth and Moon at the time of return.

Table 1: Mission Phase Operational Data

Mission Phase	Duration	Altitude / Distance	Key Activity	System Tested
Launch to LEO	~8.5 min	100 x 1,200 nmi	Ascent, Core Stage Separation	SLS Propulsion, Ascent Abort Logic
HEO Checkout	~42 hours	68,000 km (Apogee)	Prox Ops Demo, Radiation Check	Manual Piloting, ECLSS, Radiation Shielding
Outbound Coast	~4 days	Earth to Moon	Trajectory Correction Burns	Deep Space Navigation, O2O Comms
Lunar Flyby	~2 hours	6,400 mi (behind Moon)	Lunar Observation, Gravity Assist	Free-Return Dynamics
Return Coast	~4 days	Moon to Earth	Cabin Prep for Entry	Thermal Control, Waste Management
Re-entry	~20 min	Atmosphere Interface	Skip Entry Maneuver	Heat Shield, Parachutes, Recovery Beacon

6. Human Factors: Physiology, Psychology, and Life Support

The addition of the human element transforms the engineering challenge. The crew of four -- Commander Reid Wiseman, Pilot Victor Glover, and Mission Specialists Christina Koch and Jeremy Hansen -- introduces biological and psychological variables that must be managed.

6.1 Environmental Control and Life Support System (ECLSS)

The Orion ECLSS is a regenerative system designed for short-duration missions (up to 21 days), distinct from the continuously closed-loop systems of the ISS.

* Atmosphere Control: The cabin is maintained at 14.7 psi (sea level pressure) with a Nitrogen/Oxygen mix.

* CO2 Removal: The primary mechanism for removing carbon dioxide is the Amine Swing Bed technology. This system uses a material that adsorbs CO2 when cool and releases it when exposed to space vacuum, regenerating the bed automatically. This eliminates the need for the disposable lithium hydroxide (LiOH) canisters that limited the duration of Apollo missions (though LiOH canisters are carried as emergency backups).[32]

* Waste Management: The Universal Waste Management System (UWMS) is a compact toilet system. It uses airflow to separate liquid and solid waste. Urine is vented overboard (a process that creates ice crystals), while solid waste is compacted and stored in canisters to be returned to Earth.[34]

6.2 Exercise Countermeasures: The Orion Flywheel

To mitigate muscle atrophy and maintain crew health during the 10-day mission, the crew will utilize the Orion Flywheel. This device is a marvel of compactness, roughly the size of a suitcase and weighing only 30 pounds.[14] Unlike free weights, which are useless in microgravity, the flywheel uses the inertia of a spinning disk to create resistance.

- * Mechanism: The astronaut pulls a strap to spin the disk (concentric phase); the disk then continues to spin, pulling the strap back (eccentric phase), requiring the astronaut to resist the return force.

- * Capability: It supports both aerobic exercises (like rowing) and resistive exercises (squats, deadlifts) with loads up to 400 pounds.[35]

- * Operational Role: Validating this device is critical for future missions to Mars, where exercise equipment must be extremely lightweight and compact.

6.3 Radiation Protection: The HERA and Storm Shelters

The HEO phase and the lunar transit expose the crew to galactic cosmic rays (GCRs) and the potential for solar particle events (SPEs).

- * HERA: The Hybrid Electronic Radiation Assessor (HERA) connects to the vehicle's warning system. It provides real-time dosimetry and alerts the crew to spikes in high-energy particles.[36]

- * Storm Shelter Protocol: In the event of a significant solar flare, the crew will construct a "storm shelter" in the center of the capsule. They will use high-density stowage bags filled with supplies, water, and waste canisters to build a wall around the lower equipment bay. The mass of these supplies provides additional shielding, reducing the dose absorbed by the crew's vital organs.[37]

6.4 Psychological Factors: The "Earth Out of View"

While Apollo astronauts experienced the "Overview Effect" (seeing Earth from space), the Artemis II crew will experience a profound isolation. At 280,000 miles, the Earth will appear as a small marble. The ARCHeR (Artemis Research for Crew Health and Readiness) study will monitor the crew's cognitive performance, sleep quality, and team cohesion under these conditions of extreme remoteness.[38]

7. Science and Technology Payloads

Beyond the primary mission of crew certification, Artemis II carries a suite of secondary payloads and technology demonstrations that leverage the unique trajectory.

7.1 Optical Communications System (O2O)

Artemis II will test the Orion Artemis II Optical Communications System (O2O). Traditional deep space communication uses radio waves (S-band, X-band, Ka-band). O2O uses infrared lasers.

- * Bandwidth: The system can transmit data at rates up to 260 Megabits per second -- orders of magnitude faster than standard radio links.[39]

* Impact: This high bandwidth will allow the crew to stream 4K ultra-high-definition video from the Moon, providing the public with an immersive experience of the mission in near real-time. It also enables the rapid transmission of large scientific data files.

* Challenge: Laser communication requires extreme pointing accuracy. The spacecraft must point the laser beam from the Moon to a ground station in New Mexico or California with a precision equivalent to hitting a coin from miles away.[41]

7.2 Secondary Payloads: CubeSats

Four 12U CubeSats will be deployed from the Orion Stage Adapter (the ring connecting the Core Stage to the ICPS) following the separation of the Orion spacecraft. These small satellites are provided by international partners and U.S. institutions.[6]

* TACHELES: A CubeSat provided by the German Aerospace Center (DLR), focusing on radiation measurements or biology in the deep space environment.[42]

* These payloads are ejected into high-energy trajectories, allowing them to conduct independent lunar or deep-space science missions at a fraction of the cost of a dedicated launch.

8. Conclusion: The Gateway to Sustained Exploration

The Artemis II mission is the linchpin of the 21st-century lunar exploration architecture. It is the physical proof that the hardware (SLS and Orion) and the software (trajectories and procedures) can support human life beyond the safety of Low Earth Orbit.

The engineering hurdles overcome to reach this point -- specifically the resolution of the heat shield anomaly through sophisticated trajectory management -- demonstrate a mature aerospace capability that balances boldness with necessary caution. The operational profile, with its High Earth Orbit checkout and hybrid free-return trajectory, reflects a philosophy of "test as you fly, fly as you test," ensuring that risk is bought down incrementally before the landing attempts of Artemis III.

When the SLS roars off Pad 39B in early 2026, it will carry more than four astronauts; it will carry the validated systems required to build the Gateway space station, to land on the lunar South Pole, and eventually, to push outward to Mars. Artemis II is not just a repetition of the past; it is the certification of the future.

Table 2: Comparison of Artemis II and Historic/Future Missions

Parameter	Apollo 8 (1968)	Artemis II (2026)	Artemis III (Planned)
Primary Goal	Orbital Flight (Political/Tech Demo)	Orbital Flight (Political/Tech Demo)	Crewed System Validation (Eng. Test)
Duration	6 Days	~10 Days	~30 Days
Crew Size	3 (All US)	4 (3 US, 1 Canadian)	4 (2 to Surface)
Trajectory	Direct TLI to Lunar Orbit	HEO Checkout -> Free Return Flyby	HEO Checkout -> Free Return Flyby

NRHO Docking -> Surface |

| Earth Return | | Direct Entry | | Skip Entry | | Skip Entry |

| Habitat Volume | | ~210 cu ft | | ~330 cu ft | | Orion + Starship HLS |

| Tech Era | | Analog/Switch | | Glass Cockpit/Auto/Laser Comms | | Sustained
Surface Ops |

Works Cited

* NASA's Artemis 2 mission -- Everything you need to know - Space, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.space.com/artemis-2-humans-moon-orbit>

* Artemis II - NASA, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.nasa.gov/mission/artemis-ii/>

* Artemis II - Wikipedia, accessed January 8, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Artemis_II

* Office of Inspector General - NASA's Readiness for the Artemis II Crewed Mission to Lunar Orbit, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://oig.nasa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/ig-24-011.pdf>

* Orion Aerosciences and Thermal Protection System Overview, accessed January 8, 2026, https://ntrs.nasa.gov/api/citations/20210018325/downloads/Orion_Aerosciences_and_TPS_for_EDL_Summer_Seminar_Rev10-2.pdf

* Hitching A Ride on History: NASA's Space Launch System Artemis II CubeSats - DigitalCommons@USU, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=6197&context=smallsat>

* SPACE LAUNCH SYSTEM - REFERENCE GUIDE for ARTEMIS II - NASA, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.nasa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/12/sls-5558-artemis-ii-sls-reference-guide.pdf?emrc=11e574>

* Space Launch System - Wikipedia, accessed January 8, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Space_Launch_System

* SLS (Space Launch System) Interim Cryogenic Propulsion Stage - NASA, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.nasa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/sls-4980-sls-icps-fact-sheet-nov2024-508.pdf?emrc=9d4e7d>

* SLS (Space Launch System) Interim Cryogenic Propulsion Stage - NASA, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.nasa.gov/reference/icps/>

* Mobile Launcher 1 Modifications for Artemis II and Emergency Egress System Installation, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://sam.gov/opp/3868c0bf6f634c5c94a7b0fda5288b31/view>

* Mobile Launcher 1 | NASA, accessed January 8, 2026, https://www.nasa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/final_-_mobile_launcher_1_fact_sheet.pdf

* Upgrades to Kennedy Ground Systems Near Completion for Artemis II - NASA, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.nasa.gov/centers-and-facilities/kennedy/upgrades-to-kennedy-ground-systems-near-completion-for-artemis-ii/>

* From the Ground to Space - Utilization of a Flywheel Device Across Tactical

Populations, accessed January 8, 2026,

<https://www.nasca.com/education/articles/tsac-report/from-the-ground-to-space-utilization-of-a-flywheel-device-across-tactical-popul>

* How to Operate NASA's Orion Spacecraft for Artemis II Mission - Space Daily,

accessed January 8, 2026,

https://www.spacedaily.com/reports/How_to_Operate_NASAs_Orion_Spacecraft_for_Artemis_II_Mission_999.html

* Key Test Drive of Orion on NASA's Artemis II to Aid Future Missions - NASA,

accessed January 8, 2026,

<https://www.nasa.gov/humans-in-space/key-test-drive-of-orion-on-nasas-artemis-ii-to-aid-future-missions/>

* Orion European Service Module for NASA's Artemis - Airbus, accessed January 8,

2026,

<https://www.airbus.com/en/products-services/space/space-exploration/moon-missions/orion-european-service-module>

* Orion's European Service Module for Artemis: propulsion overview - ESA, accessed

January 8, 2026,

https://www.esa.int/ESA_Multimedia/Images/2023/01/Orion_s_European_Service_Module_for_Artemis_propulsion_overview

* European Service Module - Wikipedia, accessed January 8, 2026,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/European_Service_Module

* Orion Service Module | Encyclopedia MDPI, accessed January 8, 2026,

<https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/36841>

* GAO Discusses Orion Heat Shield Anomaly Root Cause, Artemis 3 Internal Schedule,

accessed January 8, 2026,

<https://www.americaspace.com/2024/06/20/gao-discusses-orion-heat-shield-anomaly-root-cause-artemis-3-internal-schedule/>

* NASA Identifies Cause of Artemis I Orion Heat Shield Char Loss, accessed January

8, 2026,

<https://www.nasa.gov/missions/artemis/nasa-identifies-cause-of-artemis-i-orion-heat-shield-char-loss/>

* What Really Happened with Orion's Heat Shield During 2022 Reentry Incident,

accessed January 8, 2026,

<https://www.ien.com/product-development/article/22928628/what-really-happened-with-orions-heat-shield-during-2022-reentry-inc>

* NASA isn't fixing the heat shield on Artemis II - Ad Astra, accessed January 8,

2026, <https://www.adastraspace.com/p/artemis-ii-orion-heat-shield-root-cause>

* Artemis reentry - The Space Review, accessed January 8, 2026,

<https://www.thespacereview.com/article/4907/1>

* Artemis II Orion Heatshield Update - AmericaSpace, accessed January 8, 2026,

<https://www.americaspace.com/2024/12/08/artemis-ii-orion-heatshield-update/>

* Artemis II - NASA, accessed January 8, 2026,

<https://www.nasa.gov/reference/artemis-ii/>

* Artemis II Science - NASA, accessed January 8, 2026,

<https://www.nasa.gov/humans-in-space/artemis-ii-science/>

* Question about how Artemis II will be the farthest humans have ever been from

earth?, accessed January 8, 2026,

https://www.reddit.com/r/space/comments/1q37bza/question_about_how_artemis_ii_will_be_the/

* Orion Skip Maneuver | Lockheed Martin, accessed January 8, 2026,

<https://www.lockheedmartin.com/en-us/news/features/2022/orion-skip-maneuver.html>

* Skip Re-Entry Trajectory Detection and Guidance for Maneuvering Vehicles - PMC, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7287944/>

* Life Support Subsystems - NASA, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.nasa.gov/reference/jsc-life-support-subsystems/>

* Case Study of Current ECLSS Technologies with Direct Applications to Lunar Habitat Design, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://ttu-ir.tdl.org/bitstreams/aa904985-3950-4fe9-90bc-d9b1e5338778/download>

* NASA System Maturation Teams: ECLSS & Environmental Monitoring - National Academies, accessed January 8, 2026, https://sites.nationalacademies.org/cs/groups/ssbsite/documents/webpage/ssb_174760.pdf

* Living aboard Orion | Canadian Space Agency, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.asc-csa.gc.ca/eng/missions/artemis-ii/living-aboard-orion.asp>

* Overview of SRAG activities (hardware) in preparation for Artemis Operations - Workshops on Radiation Monitoring for the International Space Station, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://wrmiss.org/workshops/twentyfifth/Semones.pdf>

* NASA isn't fixing the heat shield on Artemis II - YouTube, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5QzvsHu1g1M>

* Artemis II Crew Both Subjects and Scientists in NASA Deep Space Research, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.nasa.gov/general/artemis-ii-crew-both-subjects-and-scientists-in-nasa-deep-space-research/>

* Space-to-Ground Optical Interface Verification for the Orion Artemis II Optical (O2O) Communications Demonstration - IEEE Xplore, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10491223/>

* O2O - NASA, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.nasa.gov/goddard/esc/o2o/>

* First communications user terminal demonstrated in two-way laser relay prepares for Moon flyby | MIT Lincoln Laboratory, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.ll.mit.edu/news/first-communications-user-terminal-demonstrated-two-way-laser-relay-prepares-moon-flyby>

* Artemis II: Scientific research during the mission | Canadian Space Agency, accessed January 8, 2026, <https://www.asc-csa.gc.ca/eng/missions/artemis-ii/scientific-research-during-mission.asp>