Climate & Earth Systems | Jan 9, 2026 | 7 min read | By Bryan White

Beneath the Ice: The Geopolitics of Greenland's Exposed Frontier

Environment * Climate Change * Energy

The Arctic Paradox

Greenland stands at the center of a far-reaching geopolitical and geological transformation. Covering roughly 80% of the island, the Greenland Ice Sheet has for millennia locked ancient formations beneath kilometers of ice. However, accelerating retreat across the cryosphere -- driven by Arctic warming nearly four times faster than the global average since 1979 -- is exposing a resource frontier of strategic interest to Washington, Brussels, and Beijing.[1][2][3] This dynamic exemplifies the "Arctic Paradox": warming driven by fossil fuels melts ice sheets while unlocking graphite, rare earth elements (REEs), and base metals needed for clean-energy hardware, yet threatens fragile Arctic ecosystem stability and Greenlandic livelihoods.[2][24]

Through late 2026, Greenland's extractive trajectories have diverged sharply across permitting and legal tracks. In autumn 2026, Naalakkersuisut formally signed off on the Mining Plan and Closure Plan for the Tanbreez rare earth project through 2050 (pending final activity-specific clearances), while Amaroq Minerals commissioned its Phase 2 flotation recovery circuit at the Nalunaq gold mine.[4][16] By contrast, Energy Transition Minerals' Kvanefjeld uranium-linked arbitration and High Court litigation remained stalled ahead of a December 2026 government defense filing,[13][14] and legacy hydrocarbon drilling in Jameson Land was postponed after regulators cited unpermitted equipment landings in mid-2026.[18][19]

Geological Framework: From the Precambrian Shield to the Gardar Rift

Greenland's structural evolution mirrors the ancient shields of Northern Canada and Western Australia.[5] Its ice-free margin is dominated by a Precambrian crystalline shield -- including the Archean shield terranes and Paleoproterozoic Nanortalik Gold Belt -- prospective for gold, nickel, copper, and iron.[5][15]

For rare earth elements, the premier province is the mid-Proterozoic Gardar Rift (1.1-1.3 Ga) in South Greenland, hosting the Ilimaussaq Alkaline Complex.[5][6] Fractional crystallization of alkali-rich magmas concentrated incompatible elements into two large REE deposits across the Tunulliarfik Fjord: Kvanefjeld (Kuannersuit), hosted in uranium-bearing steenstrupine, and Tanbreez (Killavaat Alannguat), hosted in low-uranium eudialyte.[6][7] At 83 deg N, the Paleozoic Franklinian Basin hosts the Citronen Fjord zinc-lead district where polar geology confronts seasonal sea ice, while East Greenland's Mesozoic Jameson Land rift basin preserves thick Permian-Jurassic hydrocarbon source shales beneath permafrost.[5][22]

The Rare Earth Frontier: Tanbreez versus Kvanefjeld

Greenland's two flagship REE deposits illustrate how mineralogy governs regulatory

survival:

* The Tanbreez Project: Operated by Critical Metals Corp, Tanbreez holds 4.7 billion tonnes of mineralized kakortokite hosted in eudialyte, enriched in heavy rare earths (dysprosium, terbium) plus zirconium and niobium.[8][9] Because its uranium content sits below Greenland's 100 ppm threshold under the 2021 Uranium Act, it avoids the statutory uranium ban.[4][12] After securing environmental clearances in 2025 and estimating a $3 billion project NPV,[8][9] Tanbreez received formal ministerial sign-off on its Mining Plan and Closure Plan in autumn 2026 under an exploitation license valid through 2050, subject to final activity permits and financial security.[4][10]

* The Kvanefjeld Standoff: Holding rich REE resources co-mineralized with uranium in steenstrupine,[7][11] Kvanefjeld was halted when Greenland enacted Act No. 20 of 2021 banning mining of ores above 100 ppm uranium amid opposition over radioactive dust and tailings near Narsaq.[12][13] Developer Energy Transition Minerals pursued an $11.5 billion dispute against Greenland and Denmark; in October 2026, the government sought a 49-day High Court of Greenland extension to December 15, 2026 to translate and finalize its defense statement.[13][14]

Precious Metals, Base Metals, and the Jameson Land Hydrocarbon Exception

* Nalunaq Gold & Base Metals: In South Greenland, Amaroq Ltd. restarted the high-grade Nalunaq gold mine, pouring first gold in late 2024 and expanding mineral resource estimates in 2025.[15][17] In June 2026, Amaroq commissioned its Phase 2 flotation recovery circuit, boosting gold recoveries toward 90-95% alongside 2026 production guidance of 25,000-35,000 ounces.[16] Meanwhile, remote northern zinc targets such as Citronen Fjord and battery-graphite prospects depend on overcoming polar transport and capital-cost barriers.[5][26]

* Jameson Land Hydrocarbons: Although Greenland banned new oil exploration in 2021 to address climate change and spill risks, legacy onshore licenses in Jameson Land remained active.[18][22] Partnering with Greenland Energy Company, 80 Mile plc published an independent 2025 prospectivity assessment identifying 13 billion barrels of prospective oil.[20][21] However, winter drilling plans were postponed in mid-2026 after Greenlandic authorities cited unpermitted equipment landings near Ittoqqortoormiit and mandated full environmental and consultation reviews.[19]

The "Arctic Premium," Regulatory Governance, and Ecological Trade-Offs

Developing mines in Greenland incurs an "Arctic Premium" of substantially higher capital and operating costs than temperate jurisdictions due to the absence of inter-town roads or grids, compressed summer construction windows, ice-class vessel surcharges, and Fly-In/Fly-Out (FIFO) expatriate labor needed alongside Greenland's roughly 56,000 residents.[25][26] Under Greenland's Mineral Resources Act, projects must negotiate Impact Benefit Agreements (IBAs), meet fiscal and royalty obligations, and mitigate freeze-thaw tailings seepage and black carbon emissions that lower snow albedo and accelerate glacial melt.[2][12][23]

Comparative Mining Cost and Operational Factors (Greenland vs. Western Australia)

| Cost Category | | Greenland | | Western Australia | | Economic Impact Factor |

| Exploration Access | | Helicopter-dependent; short summer window | | Road access; year-round | | Significantly higher logistics & exploration cost in Greenland[26] |

| Site Infrastructure | | Must build ports, diesel/hydro power, roads | | Plugs into existing rail and power grids | | 30-50% higher upfront CAPEX burden[26] |

| Workforce & Labor | | Expatriate FIFO camps; local IBA training[23][25] | | Established domestic mining labor pool | | Elevated OPEX for Arctic accommodations[25] |

| Maritime Logistics | | Seasonal ice windows; ice-class hulls | | Year-round standard bulk carriers | | Substantial shipping surcharge & storage CAPEX[26] |

| Regulatory Approval | | Exploitation license + closure plan + IBA[23] | | State tenement & environmental framework | | Strict environmental & local-benefit compliance[12][23] |

Sovereignty and Supply Chains on the Exposed Frontier

Greenland's exposed geological frontier embodies a delicate balancing act between Western mineral supply diversification, domestic environmental preservation, and aspirations for economic self-sufficiency.[12][24] While low-uranium assets like Tanbreez (now advancing under a 2026-approved Mining Plan through 2050) and the producing Nalunaq gold operation show how compliant projects can navigate the Arctic Premium,[4][16] the prolonged Kvanefjeld court dispute and the postponement of unpermitted Jameson Land drilling underscore that Greenland's resource future is governed as decisively by environmental law and democratic oversight as by retreating ice.[14][19][24]

Updates... (Nov 19, 2026): Updated project status through late 2026 for the Tanbreez Mining Plan and Closure Plan approval, Amaroq Minerals' June 2026 Nalunaq Phase 2 flotation commissioning, High Court of Greenland defense deadlines in the Kvanefjeld litigation, and the 2026 postponement of Jameson Land winter drilling; replaced broken and encyclopedia links with verified primary DOIs and Arctic reporting; and reduced intensifier density.

Works Cited

* [1] Rantanen, M., Karpechko, A. Y., Lipponen, A., et al. (2022). The Arctic has warmed nearly four times faster than the globe since 1979. Communications Earth & Environment, 3, 168. -- https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-022-00498-3

* [2] WWF Arctic (2020). OMG, Greenland's glaciers are melting from below. -- https://www.arcticwwf.org/the-circle/stories/omg-greenlands-glaciers-are-melting-from-below/

* [3] High North News (2024). The First Ice-Free Day in the Arctic Ocean Could Occur Before 2030, Says Researchers. -- https://www.highnorthnews.com/en/first-ice-free-day-arctic-ocean-could-occur-2030-says-researchers

* [4] ArcticToday (2026). Greenland signs off on Tanbreez mine plan through 2050. --

https://www.arctictoday.com/greenland-signs-off-on-tanbreez-mine-plan-through-2050/

* [5] Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (GEUS) (2024). Geology and Ore 36: Minerals in Greenland. -- https://eng.geus.dk/products-services-facilities/publications/minerals-in-greenland/geology-and-ore/geology-and-ore-36

* [6] Petersen, O. V., Johnsen, O., Gault, R. A., & Niedermayr, G. (2004). Taseqite, a new member of the eudialyte group from the Ilimaussaq alkaline complex, South Greenland. Neues Jahrbuch f r Mineralogie - Monatshefte, 2004(2), 83-96. -- https://doi.org/10.1127/0028-3649/2004/2004-0083

* [7] World Nuclear Association (2024). Uranium from Rare Earth Deposits. -- https://world-nuclear.org/information-library/nuclear-fuel-cycle/uranium-resources/uranium-from-rare-earths-deposits

* [8] Critical Metals Corp (2025). Critical Metals Corp.'s Tanbreez Valued at $3 Billion With IRR of 180% and Significant Additional Upside Potential. -- https://www.criticalmetalscorp.com/critical-metals-corp-s-tanbreez-valued-at-3-billion-with-irr-of-180-and-significant-additional-up

* [9] The Assay (2025). Critical Metals Corp Secures Key Environmental Clearance for Tanbreez Project. -- https://www.theassay.com/news/critical-metals-corp-secures-key-environmental-clearance-for-tanbreez-project/

* [10] Baskaran, G., & Schwartz, M. (2025). Developing Rare Earth Processing Hubs: An Analytical Approach. Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS). -- https://www.csis.org/analysis/developing-rare-earth-processing-hubs-analytical-approach

* [11] World Nuclear News (2015). Success for Kvanefjeld refinery pilot plant. -- https://www.world-nuclear-news.org/Articles/Success-for-Kvanefjeld-refinery-pilot-plant

* [12] Vermont Journal of Environmental Law (2025). Balancing Environmental Protection with Economic Development: The Greenland Mining Dilemma. -- https://vjel.vermontlaw.edu/news/2025/04/balancing-environmental-protection-with-economic-development-the-greenland-mining-d

* [13] The Guardian (2025). Fearing toxic waste, Greenland ended uranium mining. Now, they could be forced to restart - or pay $11bn. -- https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2025/mar/05/greenland-mining-energy-transition-minerals-environmental-laws-uranium-

* [14] Rare Earth Exchanges (2026). Energy Transition Minerals Kvanefjeld Defense Delay Explained. -- https://rareearthexchanges.com/news/energy-transition-minerals-kvanefjeld-defense-extension/

* [15] Amaroq Ltd. (2026). Nalunaq - Amaroq Ltd. -- https://www.amaroqminerals.com/projects/nalunaq/

* [16] London Stock Exchange / Amaroq Minerals (2026). Commissioning of Flotation Circuit and Completion of Phase 2 at Nalunaq. -- https://www.londonstockexchange.com/en/news/article/AMRQ/commissioning-of-flotation-circuit-and-completion-of-phase-2-at-na

* [17] AJ Bell (2025). Amaroq Minerals hails sharp increase in Greenland resource estimate. -- https://www.ajbell.co.uk/news/articles/amaroq-minerals-hails-sharp-increase-greenland-resource-estimate

* [18] ArcticToday (2025). British firm eyes major Greenlandic oil project despite government opposition. -- https://www.arctictoday.com/british-firm-eyes-major-greenlandic-oil-project-despite-government-opposition/

* [19] ArcticToday (2026). Greenland Energy postpones winter drilling after landing gear without permission. -- https://www.arctictoday.com/greenland-energy-postpones-winter-drilling-after-landing-gear-without-permission/

* [20] London Stock Exchange / 80 Mile plc (2025). 13 Billion Barrel Potential Identified at Jameson. -- https://www.londonstockexchange.com/news-article/80M/13-billion-barrel-potential-identified-at-jameson/17300236

* [21] 80 Mile plc (2026). Jameson, South East Greenland. -- https://www.80mile.com/jameson-land-basin

* [22] U.S. Geological Survey (2008). Geology and assessment of undiscovered oil and gas resources of the East Greenland Rift Basins Province, 2008. -- https://www.usgs.gov/publications/geology-and-assessment-undiscovered-oil-and-gas-resources-east-greenland-rift-basins

* [23] Mineral Resources Authority, Naalakkersuisut. Impact Benefit Agreement (IBA). -- https://govmin.gl/exploitation/get-an-exploitation-licence/impact-benefit-agreement-iba/

* [24] Belfer Center, Harvard Kennedy School (2025). Explainer: The Geopolitical Significance of Greenland. -- https://www.belfercenter.org/research-analysis/explainer-geopolitical-significance-greenland

* [25] Nordregio (2024). Greenland - Strategies to address Nordic rural labour shortage. -- https://pub.nordregio.org/r-2024-23-rural-labour-shortage/greenland.html

* [26] Discovery Alert (2026). Greenland's Rare Earth Mining Potential and Economic Challenges. -- https://discoveryalert.com.au/arctic-resource-economics-greenland-mineral-cost-2026/