Ecology & Evolution | Jan 19, 2026 | 7 min read | By Bryan White

Book Review: Primordial Soup or Volcanic Sauna? The Case for the Hot Spring Hypothesis. Assembling Life, by David Deamer

Biology * Evolution * Genetics

Introduction: The Unsolved Puzzle of Origins

The origin of life marks the decisive threshold between the deterministic laws of chemistry and the open-ended complexity of biological evolution. Yet since the Miller-Urey experiment of 1953, a unified theory of abiogenesis has remained elusive, fracturing researchers into competing camps prioritizing genetic replication (the "RNA World"), self-sustaining metabolic cycles ("Metabolism First"), or cellular compartmentalization ("Membranes First"). Into this divided landscape arrives David W. Deamer's 2019 monograph, Assembling Life: How Can Life Begin on Earth and Other Habitable Planets? (Oxford University Press, ISBN 978-0-19-064638-7).[1][13] A Research Professor of Biomolecular Engineering at UC Santa Cruz, Deamer is a leading figure in membrane biophysics whose career spans the extraction of membrane-forming organics from carbonaceous meteorites to the invention of nanopore DNA sequencing.[2]

Unapologetically polemical, Assembling Life marshals geological, chemical, and biophysical data to challenge the prevailing hypothesis that life originated in deep-sea alkaline hydrothermal vents.[1][13] In its place, Deamer updates Charles Darwin's 1871 "warm little pond" letter -- which envisioned ammonia and phosphoric salts reacting under heat and light -- into the modern "Hot Spring Hypothesis."[3] He argues that rhythmic wet-dry cycles in freshwater volcanic pools provided the indispensable thermodynamic engine required to condense simple organic monomers into informational biopolymers -- a feat that the global ocean, with its vast dilution and high salinity, could never achieve.[1][9]

Comparative Overview of Origin Environments

| Feature | | Deep-Sea Hydrothermal Vents (Russell/Lane) | | Terrestrial Hot Springs (Deamer) |

| Water Condition | | Continuous immersion (Marine/Salty). | | Cyclic Wet-Dry (Freshwater). |

| Salinity | | High (Oceanic salinity + vent fluids). | | Low (Rainwater/freshwater pools). |

| Energy Source | | Redox and Proton gradients (continuous flux). | | Geothermal heat + Chemical potential from dehydration. |

| Concentration Mechanism | | Thermal diffusion / Rock pores. | | Evaporation (Kinetic Trapping). |

| Polymerization Driver | | Mineral catalysis (theoretical). | | Dehydration

synthesis (demonstrated). |

| Membrane Stability | | Low (destabilized by salinity/pH). | | High (stable in freshwater). |

| Key Reference | | [4] | | [9] |

The Biophysics of Assembly: Container-Enabled Chemistry First

Whereas the RNA World hypothesis posits that a self-replicating genetic polymer arose first, Deamer contends that "genetics first" is physically improbable because naked replicators in an open medium would rapidly diffuse apart. Instead, he champions "Container-Enabled Chemistry First," grounded in his landmark 1980s experiments on the 4.5-billion-year-old Murchison carbonaceous chondrite.[2] When Deamer extracted abiotic amphiphilic carboxylic acids from the meteorite and hydrated them, the molecules spontaneously self-assembled into microscopic membrane-bound vesicles indistinguishable from modern biological liposomes.[2][3]

This spontaneous assembly is driven by the hydrophobic effect -- an increase in the entropy of surrounding water molecules when non-polar hydrocarbon tails aggregate into micelles, planar lipid bilayers, and closed spherical vesicles.[3] Delivered to the Hadean Earth via meteoritic infall or synthesized abiotically through Fischer-Tropsch reactions, these amphiphiles guaranteed that membrane compartments were physically ubiquitous wherever liquid water existed.[6]

Why the Ocean Fails: The Salt and Water Paradoxes

In dismantling the marine origin consensus, Deamer highlights two fundamental physicochemical barriers: the Salt Problem and the Water Problem. Unlike modern phospholipid cell membranes, prebiotic membranes composed of simple single-chain fatty acids are acutely sensitive to ionic strength. In the presence of marine sodium chloride and divalent cations such as magnesium (Mg^{2+}) and calcium (Ca^{2+}), fatty acids fail to form stable vesicles, instead precipitating into useless crystalline curds.[7] Consequently, Deamer argues that prebiotic compartmentalization required low-ionic-strength freshwater environments supplied by precipitation on volcanic landmasses.[7][9]

Even more prohibitive is the thermodynamic Water Problem. Linking amino acids into peptides or nucleotides into nucleic acids requires a condensation reaction that expels a water molecule (H_2O). In bulk aqueous solution, the change in Gibbs free energy (G) heavily favors the reverse reaction -- hydrolysis -- spontaneously cleaving polymers back into monomers.[1] Attempting to polymerize informational macromolecules inside permanently submerged deep-sea vents, Deamer writes, is akin to building a sandcastle against an incoming tide; driving condensation forward requires physically removing water from the system.[1][9]

The Hot Spring Engine: Wet-Dry Cycles and Field Validation

Deamer's solution is the terrestrial hydrothermal field, where fluctuating volcanic pools act as a three-phase thermodynamic ratchet driven by cyclic evaporation and rehydration:[9]

* The Wet Phase (Self-Assembly): Rainwater fills geothermal pools, allowing

meteoritic and volcanic amphiphiles to self-assemble into billions of vesicles amidst dilute monomers.[9]

* The Dry Phase (Kinetic Trapping): Geothermal evaporation forces vesicles to fuse into a dehydrated multilamellar lipid matrix -- stacked two-dimensional sheets resembling microscopic baklava. Trapped between these lipid planes, concentrated monomers lose water activity, shifting chemical equilibrium toward condensation. The ordering effect of the lipid lamellae catalyzes non-enzymatic polymerization, kinetically trapping monomers into chains before hydrolysis can intervene.[8][9]

* The Re-wetting Phase (Encapsulation and Selection): Subsequent rainfall or geyser splash rehydrates the multilamellar film, budding off millions of new protocells that encapsulate the newly synthesized polymers.[9] Over thousands of cycles, protocells containing polymers that stabilize membrane permeability or catalyze metabolic reactions preferentially survive, initiating pre-Darwinian combinatorial selection.[9]

Crucially, Deamer backs this model with both laboratory and field verification. In laboratory simulations, subjecting mixtures of mononucleotides (AMP and UMP) and lipids to wet-dry cycles generated RNA-like oligomers up to 100 nucleotides long without enzymatic assistance.[9] Furthermore, Deamer tested these prebiotic mixtures directly in the acidic, mineral-laden volcanic hot springs of Kamchatka, Russia, and Mount Lassen, California, demonstrating that encapsulated polymer synthesis proceeds robustly even in "messy" geochemical environments.[1][9]

The Great Debate and Astrobiological Horizons

Assembling Life stands at the center of a sharp theoretical duel with Alkaline Hydrothermal Vent proponents such as Nick Lane, William Martin, and Michael Russell.[4][5] While Deamer faults submarine vents for their thermodynamic inability to dehydrate monomers and their "escape problem" into high-salinity seawater, Lane counters that evaporative pools lack a continuous metabolic energy flux.[7] Vent theorists emphasize that natural proton and redox gradients across iron-sulfur mineral membranes mimic universal cellular bioenergetics (chemiosmosis and the Krebs cycle), whereas Deamer's wet-dry cycles risk producing chemically inert polymers -- "a house with no inhabitants."[10] Similarly, reviewer William Bains questions whether kinetic trapping alone can bridge the gap from random oligomers to genuine template-directed replication beyond a simplified "toy domain."[1]

Nevertheless, Deamer's framework carries profound implications for astrobiology. If abiogenesis requires subaerial wet-dry cycles on exposed crust, then ice-covered ocean worlds such as Europa and Enceladus -- which lack subaerial land -- may be sterile despite harboring liquid oceans.[11] Instead, Deamer points to Noachian Mars (3.5-4.0 Ga), specifically the opaline silica sinter deposits discovered by the Spirit rover at Home Plate in the Columbia Hills, as prime ancient hot spring environments where biosignatures of early cellular assembly are most likely preserved.[12]

Conclusion: The Water Paradox

David Deamer's Assembling Life is a tour de force of prebiotic biophysics that turns the "water world" orthodoxy on its head: while life requires liquid water to

function, it required the periodic removal of water to be born.[1][13] By uniting meteoritic lipid delivery, multilamellar dehydration synthesis, and field geochemistry, Deamer constructs a compelling physical case for a terrestrial volcanic origin of life, offering an indispensable guide for biochemists and planetary scientists alike.

Updates... (Nov 22, 2026): Enriched Works Cited entries with exact verified CrossRef publication dates across primary DOIs (in addition to earlier Nov 19, 2026 updates adding the Oxford University Press monograph DOI and standardizing inline citation markers).

Works Cited

* Bains, W. (2020, Feb 18). Getting Beyond the Toy Domain. Meditations on David Deamer's "Assembling Life". Life, https://doi.org/10.3390/life10020018

* Damer, B. (2019, May 2). David Deamer: Five Decades of Research on the Question of How Life Can Begin. Life, https://doi.org/10.3390/life9020036

* Deamer, D., & Dworkin, J. P. (2005). Chemistry and Physics of Primitive Membranes -- NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, https://science.gsfc.nasa.gov/691/cv/dworkin/DeamerDworkin2005.pdf

* Martin, W., & Russell, M. J. (2006). On the origin of biochemistry at an alkaline hydrothermal vent. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2006.1881

* Cartwright, J. H. E., & Russell, M. J. (2019, Dec 6). The origin of life: the submarine alkaline vent theory at 30. Interface Focus, https://doi.org/10.1098/rsfs.2019.0104

* Dworkin, J. P., Deamer, D. W., Sandford, S. A., & Allamandola, L. J. (2001). Self-assembling amphiphilic molecules: Synthesis in simulated interstellar/precometary ices. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.98.3.815

* American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (ASBMB Today) (2020, Aug 9). Searching high and low for the origins of life. https://www.asbmb.org/asbmb-today/science/080920/searching-high-and-low-for-the-origins-of-life

* Black, R. A., & Blosser, M. C. (2016, Aug 11). A Self-Assembled Aggregate Composed of a Fatty Acid Membrane and the Building Blocks of Biological Polymers Provides a First Step in the Emergence of Protocells. Life, https://doi.org/10.3390/life6030033

* Damer, B., & Deamer, D. (2020, Apr). The Hot Spring Hypothesis for an Origin of Life. Astrobiology, https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2019.2045

* Jackson, J. B. (2017). Ancient Living Organisms Escaping from, or Imprisoned in, the Vents? Life, https://doi.org/10.3390/life7030036

* Longo, A., & Damer, B. (2020, Apr 27). Factoring Origin of Life Hypotheses into the Search for Life in the Solar System and Beyond. Life, https://doi.org/10.3390/life10050052

* Cary, F. C. A., et al. (2024). Could Life Have Started on Mars? Planetary

Conditions That Assemble and Destroy Protocells. Life, https://doi.org/10.3390/life14030415

* Deamer, D. W. (2019, Feb 7). Assembling Life: How Can Life Begin on Earth and Other Habitable Planets? Oxford University Press, https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190646387.001.0001