Ecology & Evolution | Jan 13, 2026 | 10 min read | By Bryan White

Fragmented Flora: The Urgent Need for a Global Botanical Data Ecosystem.

Biology * Climate Change * Conservation

Introduction: The Paradox of the Living Museum

In January 2026, an international consortium led by Professor Samuel Brockington of the University of Cambridge and Botanic Gardens Conservation International (BGCI) published a landmark study in Nature Plants exposing a central paradox of modern plant science: while humanity stewards an extraordinary global network of living botanical collections holding nearly one-third of all known land plant species, their scientific potential remains locked behind a "fragmented, fragile, and in many cases inaccessible" digital infrastructure.[1][4]

Unlike traditional natural history museums that preserve static herbarium sheets, botanic gardens operate as dynamic "living museums" whose specimens grow, reproduce, and respond to environmental stressors.[4][5] Addressing the twin planetary crises of biodiversity loss and anthropogenic climate change requires aggregating longitudinal records across this global estate.[1] Yet while fields from astrophysics to genomics rely on open, standardized repositories, botanical conservation remains fractured across incompatible proprietary databases, local spreadsheets, and paper ledgers -- preventing isolated institutions from functioning as a unified planetary ecosystem for climate and biodiversity resilience.[3][5]

Auditing the Global Botanical Estate and the "Dead Data" Crisis

Across every habitable continent, more than 3,500 botanic gardens and arboreta cultivate a documented minimum of 105,634 distinct land plant species -- roughly 30% of known vascular plant diversity and ~40% of threatened taxa.[2][4] With approximately 40% of global plant diversity facing elevated risk of extinction, these ex situ living collections serve as an irreplaceable biological safety net for species such as the Easter Island Toromiro tree (Sophora toromiro), which survives exclusively in cultivation.[5][7]

However, a living specimen is scientifically mute without verifiable accession metadata: taxonomic identity, wild provenance (GPS coordinates), genetic lineage (ramet/clone vs. genet), and life-history logs.[4][8] Because institutions operate as isolated silos, they cannot coordinate global "meta-collections" or genetic studbooks analogous to those used by zoos to prevent inbreeding depression in endangered vertebrates.[1][5][6]

| Global Estate Metric | | 2026 Audit Value | | Conservation & Scientific Significance | | Primary Reference |

| Active Botanic Institutions | | > 3,500 Gardens & Arboreta | | Distributed across all habitable continents and climatic zones. | | [2][6] |

| Documented Cultivated Taxa | | 105,634 Plant Species | | Encompasses ~30% of all

known land plant species on Earth. | | [2][4] |

| Threatened Flora Coverage | | ~40% of Threatened Taxa | | Critical ex situ insurance against wild habitat loss. | | [1][5] |

| Temperate vs. Tropical Holding | | 60% Temperate vs. 25% Tropical | | Severe latitudinal representation bias toward the Global North. | | [1][6] |

Informatics Bottlenecks: Darwin Core Limitations and Software Silos

The technical roots of the "dead data" crisis stem from both the semantic limitations of museum informatics standards and the commercial fragmentation of horticultural collection software.[3][9]

* The Limits of Darwin Core (DwC) for Living Entities: Maintained by Biodiversity Information Standards (TDWG) and powering the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF), Darwin Core was architected primarily for static, preserved museum specimens captured at a single collection date and locality.[11][14] A living tree in an arboretum, by contrast, is a longitudinal entity that may grow for two centuries, flower asynchronously, undergo vegetative propagation across multiple gardens, and shift physiological states. While DwC includes a LivingSpecimen basis of record, a MaterialEntity class, and genebank extensions, standard occurrence schemas struggle to track clonal genealogy, horticultural provenance, and time-series phenology.[12][14][15]

* Proprietary Software Fragmentation: At the institutional level, gardens rely on mutually incompatible platforms -- including BG-BASE, BRAHMS, IrisBG, and the ArcGIS Public Garden Data Model -- while hundreds of smaller collections depend on flat Microsoft Excel spreadsheets or paper files.[3][9][10] Because commercial vendors rarely implement open, real-time Application Programming Interfaces (APIs), global aggregators such as BGCI's PlantSearch must rely on periodic, manual flat-file CSV uploads that strip relational lineage metadata.[9][13][16]

| Platform / Standard | | Primary Architecture | | Core Strengths | | Interoperability & Living-Collection Bottlenecks |

| Darwin Core (DwC / GBIF) | | TDWG XML/CSV Exchange Standard | | Universal adoption across herbaria and natural history museums. | | Optimized for static dead specimens; poor native tracking of longitudinal living histories.[12][14] |

| BG-BASE & BRAHMS | | Relational Taxonomy & Research DBs | | Granular taxonomic hierarchies, nomenclature, and herbarium integration. | | Steep learning curves; complex schema mapping required for external synchronization.[9][10] |

| IrisBG & ArcGIS Models | | Curation & Spatial GIS Platforms | | Intuitive curation workflows, public mapping, and spatial asset tracking. | | Proprietary schemas lack automated real-time API federation across institutions.[9][10] |

| Spreadsheets / Paper | | Ad-Hoc Local Files (Excel/Access) | | Zero licensing barrier for underfunded regional collections. | | Complete data silos; high corruption risk and zero visibility to global science.[3] |

Geopolitics, Data Equity, and the Nagoya Protocol

Technological fragmentation is compounded by stark geopolitical asymmetries between the Global North and the Global South.[1] Although the vast majority of vascular plant diversity resides in tropical biodiversity hotspots across Latin America, Africa, and Southeast Asia, digital infrastructure and high-capacity gardens remain concentrated in temperate Europe and North America -- resulting in 60% ex situ representation for temperate flora compared to just 25% for tropical species.[1][6]

Furthermore, international data exchange is constrained by legal uncertainty surrounding the Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit-Sharing (ABS) under the Convention on Biological Diversity.[17] Unresolved disputes over whether Digital Sequence Information (DSI) and associated provenance records fall under sovereign genetic-resource permitting have deterred cross-border data sharing.[17][18] Simultaneously, addressing the global "Taxonomic Impediment" -- the shortage of trained systematists in megadiverse nations -- and respecting Indigenous data sovereignty (such as New York Botanical Garden partnerships reconnecting ethnobotanical records to Indigenous Australian communities) require permissions-aware metadata architectures that preserve cultural and legal provenance.[8][19][20][21]

The Meta-Collection in Action: Phenology, Genomics, and Ecological Restoration

Where botanical collections have successfully federated their data, they have functioned as distributed planetary laboratories:[22]

* Global Phenology Networks (PhenObs & Project BudBurst): Functioning as standardized common-garden experiments across climatic gradients, initiatives such as Europe's PhenObs network and the U.S. Project BudBurst link multi-decadal flowering and leaf-out records to functional traits.[22][23][24][25] Aggregated living-collection time series have revealed how plant stature and seed mass govern flowering duration, how maize heat tolerance has shifted over time, and how floral UV pigmentation evolves rapidly in response to temperature and stratospheric ozone changes.[7][24][26]

* Biobanking the Tree of Life (GGI-Gardens): By applying gap analysis across global holdings, the Global Genome Initiative for Gardens (GGI-Gardens) targets genome-quality tissue preservation across every vascular plant genus.[27][28] Integrating genetics and genome barcoding within living collections has uncovered cryptic orchid taxa, crop wild relatives of Malus (apples) carrying critical pathogen-resistance alleles, and novel species hidden in plain sight.[28][29]

* Climate-Matched Restoration (Nurturing Nature): Led by the New York Botanical Garden, the Nurturing Nature Initiative pairs horticultural provenance records with climate projections to supply genetically adapted native plant material for large-scale ecosystem reforestation.[30][31]

Conclusion: Architecting a Unified Global Botanical Data Ecosystem

Unlocking the "silent library" of the world's 3,500 botanic gardens requires treating living-collection informatics as critical planetary infrastructure on par

with public-health surveillance or the Global Seed Vault.[1] Rather than forcing every garden onto a single monolithic software package, the Nature Plants blueprint advocates a federated FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable) data ecosystem built on Digital Object Architecture (DOA), standardized living-specimen ontologies, and open APIs coordinated through hubs such as BGCI's PlantSearch.[3][6][16][17] By investing in equitable capacity across the Global South and uniting 105,634 cultivated species into a real-time meta-collection, botanical science can transform isolated gardens into a synchronized instrument for planetary survival.[1][2]

Updates... (Nov 24, 2026): Replaced two claim-mismatched citations (Refs. 26 and 27) with CrossRef-verified primary studies -- Koski, MacQueen & Ashman (Nov 16, 2020; Current Biology, DOI 10.1016/j.cub.2020.08.077) on floral UV pigmentation responses to ozone and temperature, and Gostel et al. (Sep 19, 2016; Applications in Plant Sciences, DOI 10.3732/apps.1600039) on GGI-Gardens genome-quality tissue biobanking; corrected the CrossRef publication date and title for Endresen & Kn pffer (Jul 16, 2012; Ref. 12); and consolidated prior Nov 21, 2026 publication-date and formatting updates.

References & Primary Sources

* [1] University of Cambridge (2026, Jan 9). World's vast plant knowledge not being fully exploited to tackle biodiversity and climate challenges, warn researchers. -- https://www.cam.ac.uk/research/news/worlds-vast-plant-knowledge-not-being-fully-exploited-to-tackle-biodiversity-and-climate-cha

* [2] Botany One (2026, Jan 10). We Have 3,500 Plant Collections But Isolation Holds Them Back. -- https://botany.one/2026/01/we-have-3500-plant-collections-but-isolation-holds-them-back/

* [3] Botanic Gardens Conservation International (BGCI) (2026, Jan 9). Unlocking the Global Potential of Living Plant Collections. -- https://www.bgci.org/news-events/unlocking_the_global_potential_of_living_plant_collections/

* [4] Brockington, S. F., Malcolm, P., Aiello, A. S., et al. (2026, Jan 9). High-performance living plant collections require a globally integrated data ecosystem to meet twenty-first-century challenges. Nature Plants, 12(1), 18-25. -- https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-025-02192-6

* [5] Phys.org (2026, Jan 9). Botanic gardens' vast knowledge remains untapped due to fragmented data systems, say researchers. -- https://phys.org/visualstories/2026-01-botanic-gardens-vast-knowledge-untapped.amp

* [6] Smith, P. P. (2020). Can botanic gardens conserve all of the world's rare and threatened plant species? Pontifical Academy of Sciences, Scripta Varia 146. -- https://www.pas.va/en/publications/scripta-varia/sv146pas/smith.html

* [7] Tech Explorist (2025, Jan 27). The world's living collections have collectively reached peak capacity. -- https://www.techexplorist.com/world-living-collections-collectively-reached-peak-capacity/96469/

* [8] SciDataCon (2025, Oct 13). SciDataCon 2025 Programme Timetable (13-16 October 2025). -- https://scidatacon.org/event/9/timetable/?view=standard

* [9] National Park Service / Olmsted Center for Landscape Preservation (2017).

Modernizing Plant Records Management (NCPTT Publication 2017-02). -- https://www.nps.gov/subjects/ncptt/upload/2017-02.pdf

* [10] Olmsted Center / ArbNet (2025, Oct). Modernizing Plant Records Management. -- https://arbnet.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/10/Modernizing-Plant-Record-Management_OlmstedCenter.pdf

* [11] Research Data Alliance Metadata Standards Directory (2015). Darwin Core Standard Overview. -- https://rd-alliance.github.io/metadata-directory/standards/darwin-core.html

* [12] Endresen, D. T. F., & Kn pffer, H. (2012, Jul 16). The Darwin Core extension for genebanks opens up new opportunities for sharing genebank datasets. Biodiversity Informatics, 8(1). -- https://doi.org/10.17161/bi.v8i1.4095

* [13] National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2020, Sep 10). Chapter 5: Generating, Integrating, and Accessing Digital Data, in Biological Collections: Ensuring Critical Research and Education for the 21st Century. -- https://www.nationalacademies.org/read/25592/chapter/7

* [14] GBIF Secretariat (2022, Mar 30). Basis of Record -- Biodiversity Data Use. -- https://docs.gbif.org/course-data-use/en/basis-of-record.html

* [15] Darwin Core Maintenance Group / TDWG (2026, Oct 13). Darwin Core List of Terms. -- https://dwc.tdwg.org/list/

* [16] Meeus, S., Addink, W., Agosti, D., et al. (2022, Oct 14). Recommendations for interoperability among infrastructures. Research Ideas and Outcomes, 8, e96180. -- https://doi.org/10.3897/rio.8.e96180

* [17] Schiller, E., Wiltschke-Schrotta, K., H ffner, E., et al. (2024, Jan 10). Permits, contracts and their terms for biodiversity specimens. Research Ideas and Outcomes, 10, e114366. -- https://doi.org/10.3897/rio.10.e114366

* [18] SYNTHESYS+ (2023, Feb 27). Deliverable 3.3: Best Practices for Using the GGBN Data Standard to Provide Restriction and Loan Information Handbook. -- https://www.synthesys.info/content/dam/nhm-synthesys/synthesys-plus-deliverables/Deliverable%203.3.pdf

* [19] Botanic Gardens Conservation International (BGCI) (2012). International Agenda for Botanic Gardens in Conservation (2nd ed.). -- https://www.bgci.org/files/Worldwide/News/SeptDec12/international_agenda_web.pdf

* [20] Groom, Q., De Nolf, M., Estupinan-Suarez, L. M., et al. (2025, Aug 1). Balancing the supply and demand for taxonomy: an analysis of European taxonomic capacity and policy needs. bioRxiv. -- https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.07.31.666655

* [21] Australian Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2022, Jul 25). The Global Taxonomy Initiative: Shortening the Distance between Discovery and Delivery -- Implementation. -- https://www.dcceew.gov.au/science-research/abrs/publications/gti-implementation

* [22] Cambridge University Botanic Garden (2024, Apr 23). Phenology Project. -- https://www.botanic.cam.ac.uk/research-science/phenology-project/

* [23] Nordt, B., Hensen, I., Bucher, S. F., Freiberg, M., Primack, R. B., Stevens, A., Bonn, A., Wirth, C., & R mermann, C. (2021, Feb 2). The PhenObs initiative: A standardised protocol for monitoring phenological responses to climate change using

herbaceous plant species in botanical gardens. Functional Ecology, 35(4), 821-834. -- https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13747

* [24] Rauschkolb, R., Bucher, S. F., Hensen, I., et al. (2024, Jan 29). Spatial variability in herbaceous plant phenology is mostly explained by variability in temperature but also by photoperiod and functional traits. International Journal of Biometeorology, 68(4), 761-775. -- https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-024-02621-9

* [25] Johnson, K. A. (2016, Mar 1). Real Life Science with Dandelions and Project BudBurst. Journal of Microbiology & Biology Education, 17(1), 115-117 (PMC4798791). -- https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4798791/

* [26] Koski, M. H., MacQueen, D., & Ashman, T.-L. (2020, Nov 16). Floral Pigmentation Has Responded Rapidly to Global Change in Ozone and Temperature. Current Biology, 30(22), 4425-4431.e3. -- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.08.077

* [27] Gostel, M. R., Kelloff, C., Wallick, K., & Funk, V. A. (2016, Sep 19). A workflow to preserve genome-quality tissue samples from plants in botanical gardens and arboreta. Applications in Plant Sciences, 4(9), 1600039. -- https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1600039

* [28] Botanic Gardens Conservation International (BGCI) (2021, Mar). From genes to genomes. BGjournal, 18(1). -- https://www.bgci.org/wp/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/BGjournal18.1MedResRed.pdf

* [29] American Public Gardens Association (2018, Oct 11). Botanic Gardens Complement Agricultural Gene Bank in Collecting and Conserving Plant Genetic Diversity. -- https://members.publicgardens.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/botanic-gardens-complement-agricultural.pdf

* [30] New York Botanical Garden (2024, Nov 1). Nurturing Nature -- Plants for Climate Resilience. -- https://www.nybg.org/plant-research-and-conservation/science-strategy/plants-for-climate-resilience/nurturing-nature/

* [31] American Public Gardens Association (2025, Jul 2). Nurturing Nature Initiative: Why Botanical Gardens are Key to Climate Resilience. -- https://www.publicgardens.org/nurturing-nature-initiative-why-botanical-gardens-are-key-to-climate-resilience/