Ecology & Evolution | Feb 23, 2026 | 11 min read | By Bryan White

How Asgard Archaea Breathed Life Into the First Complex Cells

Biology * Evolution * Genetics

Introduction: The Oxygen Paradox of the First Eukaryote

The emergence of complex cellular life stands as one of the most consequential evolutionary transitions in the biosphere. Under classical endosymbiotic theory, eukaryogenesis -- the origin of the nucleated cells of plants, animals, and fungi -- began when a single-celled host belonging to Archaea forged an intimate symbiosis with an alphaproteobacterium that evolved into the mitochondrion.[1] Yet a physiological paradox long challenged this framework: the closest known archaeal relatives of the host were strict obligate anaerobes poisoned by molecular oxygen in deep-sea anoxic sediments, whereas the alphaproteobacterial ancestor of the mitochondrion was an aerobe reliant on oxygen for respiration.[1] How could an oxygen-intolerant archaeon and an oxygen-respiring bacterium cohabit the same microenvironment long enough to merge into the first eukaryote?[1]

Published online in Nature in February 2026 (print Vol. 652, April 2026), a landmark study led by Kathryn Appler, Brett Baker, and an international consortium resolved this paradox, demonstrating that the specific Asgard archaeal lineages most closely related to eukaryotes possess the intrinsic biochemical machinery to sense, detoxify, and actively respire molecular oxygen.[1][2] Complementary phylogenomic analyses in Nature (February 2026) independently quantified Asgard archaea's dominant ancestral genomic contribution to eukaryogenesis.[3]

Taxonomic Paradigm Shift and the SPARC Metagenomic Expansion

Since Carl Woese and George Fox divided prokaryotes into Bacteria and Archaea in 1977, the phylogenetic tree of life has been reshaped by the 2015 discovery of Lokiarchaeota and related lineages (Thorarchaeota, Odinarchaeota, Heimdallarchaeota) forming the Asgard superphylum.[4][5][6] Because Asgard metagenome-assembled genomes encode Eukaryotic Signature Proteins, phylogenomic analyses collapsed the three-domain model into a two-domain tree in which Eukarya nests directly within Asgard archaea.[5][7][8] However, because early sampling focused on deep-sea vents, researchers assumed all Asgard archaea were strict anaerobes.[1][9]

To overcome this bias, global sampling campaigns culminating in the December 2025 Symbiotic Partners of Asgard Research Cruise (SPARC) aboard the R/V Falkor (too) cored shallow coastal and estuarine mixing zones off the Rio de la Plata in Uruguay.[1][10] Processing ~15 terabytes of environmental DNA yielded over 13,000 microbial genomes and added 404 new Asgardarchaeota metagenome-assembled genomes (including 136 novel Heimdallarchaeia), expanding the global catalog to 869 genomes.[1][9] While Lokiarchaeia dominate deep anoxic sediments, Heimdallarchaeia -- and specifically the order Hodarchaeales, the closest sister lineage to eukaryotes -- thrive in shallow, variably oxygenated coastal sediments, complementing metagenomic evidence of metabolic diversity across cold-seep and

hydrothermal Heimdallarchaeia clades.[1][11]

| Taxonomic Clade | | Previous Genomic Representation | | Metagenome-Assembled Genomes Added | | Total Current Genomes | | Primary Habitat Preference |

| Asgardarchaeota (Phylum) | | ~465 | | 404 | | 869 | | Global marine sediments, highly variable depth and oxygenation |

| Lokiarchaeia (Class) | | High | | Expanded | | > 400 | | Deep-sea, strictly anoxic hydrothermal and abyssal sediments |

| Thorarchaeia (Class) | | Moderate | | Expanded | | > 100 | | Deep-sea and estuarine anoxic sediments |

| Heimdallarchaeia (Class) | | Limited | | 136 | | > 180 | | Shallow coastal sediments, estuarine mixing zones, microoxic to oxic |

| Hodarchaeales (Order) | | Rare | | 49 | | > 60 | | Highly oxygenated coastal marine water columns and sediments |

| Kariarchaeaceae (Family) | | Rare | | Expanded | | > 50 | | Variably oxygenated marine environments and transitional zones |

Metabolic Guilds and the Biochemical Architecture of Archaeal Aerobic Respiration

Pangenomic reconstruction across the 869 genomes classified Asgardarchaeota into twelve metabolic guilds.[1] Deeper clades (Guilds 1-5, including Lokiarchaeia and Thorarchaeia) are obligate anaerobic fermentative heterotrophs degrading peptides and amino acids via low-potential, oxygen-sensitive ferredoxin pathways lacking terminal oxidases -- a metabolic divide consistent with alternative phylogenetic placements and stop-codon recoding adaptations across Asgard lineages.[11][12][13][14] Conversely, coastal Heimdallarchaeia (Guilds 8-12, notably Hodarchaeales and Kariarchaeaceae in Guilds 11-12) conduct high-yield aerobic respiration using higher-potential menaquinone reduced by nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH), succinate, and hydrogen.[1][9] They also encode oxygen-dependent enzymes (quercetin 2,3-dioxygenase and 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase) and, in ~73 percent of Kariarchaeaceae and Hodarchaeales genomes, oxygen-sensing myoglobin and protoglobin homologs acquired via ancient horizontal gene transfer from Chloroflexales bacteria.[1][15]

| Metabolic Trait | | Guilds 1-3 (Lokiarchaeia) | | Guilds 4-5 (Thorarchaeia) | | Guilds 11-12 (Heimdallarchaeia) |

| Primary Lifestyle | | Strict anaerobic heterotroph | | Anaerobic heterotroph | | Aerobic / Facultative aerobe |

| Core Electron Carrier | | Low-potential (Ferredoxin) | | Low-potential (Ferredoxin) | | High-potential (Menaquinone) |

| Oxygen Sensitivity | | Highly sensitive / Lethal | | Sensitive | | Highly tolerant / Oxygen-utilizing |

| Terminal Oxidases | | Absent | | Absent | | Present (Cytochrome c oxidases) |

| Energy Yield Profile | | Low (Anaerobic Fermentation) | | Low (Anaerobic

Fermentation) | | High (Aerobic Respiration) |

| Environmental Sensing | | Limited to anoxic gradients | | Limited | | Sophisticated (Myoglobins / Protoglobins) |

Most decisively, Heimdallarchaeia encode a complete membrane-bound electron transport chain generating a proton motive force to synthesize adenosine triphosphate (ATP), mirroring modern eukaryotic mitochondria:[1][9]

* Complex I and II: Nuo subunits and Complex I-linked membrane-bound hydrogenases boost the thermodynamic efficiency of proton pumping alongside SdhABCD succinate dehydrogenase clusters.[1][15]

* Complex III and Cytochrome c: Dedicated qcrABC menaquinol-cytochrome c reductase clusters pair with heme o biosynthesis and ccdA covalent heme attachment pathways.[1]

* Complex IV and CoxD Regulation: Seventy-two Heimdallarchaeia genomes encode coxABC cytochrome c oxidase cores flanked by copper chaperone SCO1, DOMON proteins, and CoxD -- a regulatory assembly factor previously thought exclusive to eukaryotic mitochondria.[1][15]

Structural Validation via AI and Oxidative Stress Defenses

Because billions of years of genetic drift obscure sequence similarity, the team used AlphaFold2 structural modeling to predict three-dimensional structures of Heimdallarchaeia Complex IV (Cox) proteins.[1][16][17] Superposing Hodarchaeales cytochrome c oxidase models onto eukaryotic mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase yielded a root-mean-square distance (RMSD) of just 0.82 , proving atomic-scale conservation.[1][2] To survive reactive oxygen species (ROS) leaked during aerobic transport, Heimdallarchaeia also encode superoxide dismutases, catalases, peroxidases, sterically shielded cubane iron-sulfur clusters, and sulfide:quinone oxidoreductases.[1][18]

| Defense Mechanism | | Target Toxin / Vulnerability | | Archaeal Adaptation | | Evolutionary Implication |

| Superoxide Dismutase | | Superoxide Radicals | | Converts to Hydrogen Peroxide | | Active neutralization of primary respiratory leak |

| Catalases / Peroxidases | | Hydrogen Peroxide | | Reduces to Water | | Prevents hydroxyl radical formation |

| Cubane Iron-Sulfur Clusters | | Enzyme active site degradation | | Fully occupied coordination sites | | Shields core metabolism from oxidative collapse |

| Sulfide:quinone Oxidoreductase | | Hydrogen Sulfide (inhibits Cox) | | Sulfide detoxification | | Maintains operational efficiency of aerobic respiration |

| CoxD Regulation | | Runaway ROS production | | Regulates ETC assembly/flux | | Eukaryotic-like management of respiratory stress |

The Heimdallarchaeia-Centric Model and the Great Oxidation Event

These findings replace the 1998 anaerobic "Hydrogen Hypothesis" with the "Heimdallarchaeia-centric model of eukaryogenesis": because both the coastal

Heimdallarchaeia host and the alphaproteobacterial symbiont already respired oxygen, they coexisted in oxic estuarine niches while archaeal aerobic respiration supplied the ATP surplus needed to remodel membranes prior to mitochondrial integration, expanding earlier syntrophic and reverse-flow metabolic models derived from cultivated archaea like Prometheoarchaeum and genome-reduced clades.[1][18][19][20][21][22] Geochemically, this divergence followed the Great Oxidation Event (2.4-1.7 billion years ago), when cyanobacterial photosynthesis oxygenated shallow coastal waters while leaving the deep ocean anoxic, setting the stage for eukaryotic emergence during the "Boring Billion" (1.8-0.8 billion years ago).[1][23][24][25][26]

| Geological Period | | Timeframe | | Planetary Geochemical State | | Archaeal / Eukaryotic Evolutionary Event |

| Archean Eon | | > 2.5 Billion Years Ago | | Strictly anoxic atmosphere and oceans. High carbon dioxide and methane. | | Diversification of the Last Asgard Common Ancestor (LAsCA) as a H2-dependent anaerobe. |

| Great Oxidation Event | | 2.4 - 1.7 Billion Years Ago | | Cyanobacteria produce oxygen. Oceans stratify (oxic surface, anoxic deep). | | Deep Asgards (Lokiarchaeia) remain anoxic. Coastal Asgards (Heimdallarchaeia) acquire aerobic respiration. |

| The Boring Billion | | 1.8 - 0.8 Billion Years Ago | | Atmospheric oxygen stabilizes at low levels. Climate stasis. Balanced oceanic stratification. | | Oxygen-centric symbiosis occurs in coastal muds. Emergence of the First Eukaryotic Common Ancestor. |

| Neoproterozoic Oxidation Event | | 800 - 540 Million Years Ago | | Second major pulse of oxygen. Breakup of Rodinia. Global glaciations (Snowball Earth). | | Major diversification of eukaryotic lineages. Extinction of many early microbial clades. |

| Phanerozoic Eon | | < 540 Million Years Ago | | Modern oxygen levels achieved. | | Eukaryotic dominance of complex terrestrial and marine ecosystems. |

Conclusion: Resolving the Energetic Origin of Complex Life

By doubling the genomic catalog of Asgard archaea and structurally validating their respiratory machinery at 0.82 resolution, Baker and colleagues have dissolved the oxygen paradox of eukaryogenesis.[1][2] Rather than an anaerobic prisoner of deep-sea vents, our closest archaeal ancestor was an oxygen-sensing, aerobically respiring inhabitant of shallow coastal sediments whose pre-existing bioenergetic capacity paved the way for mitochondrial endosymbiosis and the rise of complex eukaryotic life.[1][27]

Updates... (Nov 22, 2026): Replaced the undated AlphaFold Database homepage URL (Ref [17]) with Varadi et al.'s peer-reviewed Nucleic Acids Research AlphaFold Database release (Jan 5, 2024; online Nov 2, 2023, doi:10.1093/nar/gkad1011) and added verified publication dates to Refs [9] and [15]; continues to reflect the February 2026 online vs. April 2026 Vol. 652 publication of Appler et al. and primary DOIs across Nature, ISME Communications, Science China Life Sciences, and The Biochemist.

References

* [1] Appler, K. E., Lingford, J. P., Gong, X., Panagiotou, K., Le o, P., Langwig, M. V., Greening, C., Ettema, T. J. G., De Anda, V., & Baker, B. J. (2026). Oxygen metabolism in descendants of the archaeal-eukaryotic ancestor. Nature, 652(8109), 405-415. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-026-10128-z

* [2] The University of Texas at Austin, College of Natural Sciences (2026). A Break in a Longstanding Mystery about Origin of Complex Life. https://cns.utexas.edu/news/research/break-longstanding-mystery-about-origin-complex-life

* [3] Tobiasson, V., Luo, J., Wolf, Y. I., & Koonin, E. V. (2026). Dominant contribution of Asgard archaea to eukaryogenesis. Nature, 650(8100), 141-149. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-025-09960-6

* [4] Rao K C, V., & Senapati, S. (2024). Decoding archaea: The often forgotten third domain of life. The Biochemist, 46(6), 15-20. https://doi.org/10.1042/bio_2024_927

* [5] Eme, L., Spang, A., Lombard, J., Stairs, C. W., & Ettema, T. J. G. (2017). Archaea and the origin of eukaryotes. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 15(12), 711-723. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2017.133

* [6] Xie, R., Wang, Y., Huang, D., Hou, J., Li, L., Hu, H., Zhao, X., & Wang, F. (2022). Expanding Asgard members in the domain of Archaea sheds new light on the origin of eukaryotes. Science China Life Sciences, 65(4), 818-829. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-021-1969-6

* [7] Fournier, G. P., & Poole, A. M. (2018). A Briefly Argued Case That Asgard Archaea Are Part of the Eukaryote Tree. Frontiers in Microbiology, 9, 1896. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01896

* [8] Spang, A., Eme, L., Saw, J. H., Caceres, E. F., Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka, K., Lombard, J., Guy, L., & Ettema, T. J. G. (2018). Asgard archaea are the closest prokaryotic relatives of eukaryotes. PLOS Genetics, 14(3), e1007080. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007080

* [9] Baker Lab, The University of Texas at Austin (Feb 18, 2026). New study published in Nature on an expansion of genomic catalog Asgard archaea and metabolism. https://sites.utexas.edu/baker-lab/2026/02/18/new-study-published-in-nature-on-an-expansion-of-genomic-catalog-asgard-archaea-ar

* [10] Schmidt Ocean Institute (2025). 2025 Research Expeditions on R/V Falkor (too). https://schmidtocean.org/cruises/schmidt-ocean-institute-2025-expeditions/

* [11] Liu, R., Cai, R., Wang, M., Zhang, J., Zhang, H., Li, C., & Sun, C. (2024). Metagenomic insights into Heimdallarchaeia clades from the deep-sea cold seep and hydrothermal vent. Environmental Microbiome, 19(1), 43. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40793-024-00585-2

* [12] Zhang, J., Feng, X., Li, M., Liu, Y., Liu, M., Hou, L.-J., & Dong, H.-P. (2025). Deep origin of eukaryotes outside Heimdallarchaeia within Asgardarchaeota. Nature, 642(8069), 990-998. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-025-08955-7

* [13] Sun, J., Evans, P. N., Gagen, E. J., Woodcroft, B. J., Hedlund, B. P., Woyke,

T., Hugenholtz, P., & Rinke, C. (2021). Recoding of stop codons expands the metabolic potential of two novel Asgardarchaeota lineages. ISME Communications, 1(1), 30. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-021-00032-0

* [14] MacLeod, F., Kindler, G. S., Wong, H. L., Chen, R., & Burns, B. P. (2019). Asgard archaea: Diversity, function, and evolutionary implications in a range of microbiomes. AIMS Microbiology, 5(1), 48-61. https://doi.org/10.3934/microbiol.2019.1.48

* [15] Cowing, K. (Jul 8, 2024). Oxygen Metabolism In Descendants Of The Archaeal-Eukaryotic Ancestor. Astrobiology Web. https://astrobiology.com/2024/07/oxygen-metabolism-in-descendants-of-the-archaeal-eukaryotic-ancestor.html

* [16] Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., et al. (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature, 596(7873), 583-589. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

* [17] Varadi, M., Bertoni, D., Magana, P., Paramval, U., et al. (Jan 5, 2024; published online Nov 2, 2023). AlphaFold Protein Structure Database in 2024: providing structure coverage for over 214 million protein sequences. Nucleic Acids Research, 52(D1), D368-D375. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkad1011

* [18] Spang, A., Stairs, C. W., Dombrowski, N., Eme, L., Lombard, J., Caceres, E. F., Greening, C., Baker, B. J., & Ettema, T. J. G. (2019). Proposal of the reverse flow model for the origin of the eukaryotic cell based on comparative analyses of Asgard archaeal metabolism. Nature Microbiology, 4(7), 1138-1148. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0406-9

* [19] Imachi, H., Nobu, M. K., Nakahara, N., Morono, Y., Ogawara, M., Takaki, Y., et al. (2020). Isolation of an archaeon at the prokaryote-eukaryote interface. Nature, 577(7791), 519-525. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1916-6

* [20] Huang, W.-C., Probst, M., Hua, Z.-S., Sz nth , L. L., Sz ll si, G. J., Ettema, T. J. G., Rinke, C., Williams, T. A., & Spang, A. (2025). Phylogenomic Analyses Reveal that Panguiarchaeum Is a Clade of Genome-Reduced Asgard Archaea Within the Njordarchaeia. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 42(9), msaf201. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaf201

* [21] Mu oz-G mez, S. A. (2023). Energetics and evolution of anaerobic microbial eukaryotes. Nature Microbiology, 8(2), 197-203. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01299-2

* [22] Martin, W. F. (2025). ATP requirements for growth reveal the bioenergetic impact of mitochondrial symbiosis. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics, 1866(4), 149564. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2025.149564

* [23] Lyons, T. W., Reinhard, C. T., & Planavsky, N. J. (2014). The rise of oxygen in Earth's early ocean and atmosphere. Nature, 506(7488), 307-315. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13068

* [24] Holland, H. D. (2006). The oxygenation of the atmosphere and oceans. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 361(1470), 903-915. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2006.1838

* [25] Lyons, T. W., Diamond, C. W., Planavsky, N. J., Reinhard, C. T., & Li, C.

(2021). Oxygenation, Life, and the Planetary System during Earth's Middle History: An Overview. Astrobiology, 21(8), 906-923. https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2020.2418

* [26] Mills, D. B., Boyle, R. A., Daines, S. J., Sperling, E. A., Pisani, D., Donoghue, P. C. J., & Lenton, T. M. (2022). Eukaryogenesis and oxygen in Earth history. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 6(5), 520-532. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01733-y

* [27] Eme, L., Tamarit, D., Caceres, E. F., Stairs, C. W., De Anda, V., Sch n, M. E., et al. (2023). Inference and reconstruction of the heimdallarchaeial ancestry of eukaryotes. Nature, 618(7967), 992-999. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06186-2