

Climate & Earth Systems | Sep 26, 2026 | 20 min read | By Bryan White

Inside the September 2026 Nor'easter: Early-Season Extreme Weather on the East Coast

Climate Change * Oceanography * Weather

Introduction to the Late-September Extratropical Cyclone

Extratropical cyclones, frequently referred to as nor'easters along the eastern seaboard of North America, represent highly dynamic, low-pressure atmospheric systems that originate within the mid-latitudes and derive their kinetic energy primarily from baroclinic instability[1]. Typically, the climatological peak for these storms occurs during the colder months spanning from October to April, a period characterized by pronounced thermal gradients between the cooling North American continent and the comparatively warm western Atlantic Ocean[1]. However, the severe weather event impacting the United States East Coast in late September 2026 underscores the profound complexity and variability inherent in synoptic-scale meteorology[4]. Evolving off the Mid-Atlantic coastline, this early-season extratropical cyclone has generated significant meteorological anomalies and hydrodynamic hazards from Virginia through New England[6].

The September 2026 system warrants rigorous academic examination not merely due to its unseasonal timing, but because of its prolonged duration, rapid structural intensification, and the severe, multifaceted coastal impacts it has precipitated[6]. Impacting a densely populated megalopolis that includes the greater metropolitan areas of Washington D.C., Philadelphia, New York City, and Boston, the atmospheric system prompted widespread coastal flood warnings, high wind alerts, and substantial disruptions to civil infrastructure[5]. Comprehending the absolute magnitude of this event requires transitioning beyond superficial meteorological observations to investigate the underlying thermodynamic drivers, localized hydrodynamic interactions, aerodynamic vegetation vulnerabilities, and the advanced numerical modeling frameworks utilized to forecast such intricate coastal phenomena. Furthermore, the detailed analysis of this storm provides a critical touchstone for evaluating broader climatological shifts affecting the frequency, intensity, and behavior of extratropical cyclones in an altering global climate[8].

Synoptic Architecture and Model Evolution

The structural evolution of the September 2026 nor'easter involved a complex interplay between mid-latitude westerlies, robust coastal moisture availability, and an anomalous blocking high-pressure system[11]. Rather than adopting a rapid northeastward trajectory into the Canadian Maritimes, a traditional path for Atlantic extratropical cyclones, the system became restricted by a formidable high-pressure ridge situated to the north[13]. This atmospheric configuration, resembling an omega block, effectively stalled the cyclonic center offshore[3]. This stagnation elongated the duration of onshore flow, exacerbating the continuous accumulation of precipitation and tidal surge along the coastline[3].

The forecasting of this event highlighted the intrinsic uncertainties in modeling

dynamic coastal systems. In the days preceding the event, meteorological models diverged on the precise tracking and temporal evolution of the storm[3]. The European numerical prediction model depicted the low-pressure center remaining close to the coast as a potent, slow-moving storm, increasing the risk of prolonged onshore winds and subsequent infrastructure disruption[3]. Conversely, the Global Forecast System model initially projected a faster progression, bringing the storm into New England by Saturday afternoon and accelerating its departure, which would have theoretically mitigated the most severe erosion and flooding[3]. Ultimately, the system aligned more closely with the slower, stalled projections and the high-resolution North American Mesoscale model, which initialized with a deeply concerning low-pressure reading of 987 millibars, indicating a rapidly strengthening system capable of producing major coastal inundation[15].

Observational data recorded during the event highlighted the steep pressure gradient existing between the deepening coastal low and the northern high-pressure system, which generated expansive wind fields[13]. Forecasters correctly noted that the highest wind velocities remained heavily concentrated along the immediate shoreline, establishing a sharp gradient of meteorological impacts from the coast to the interior[16].

| Observational Metric | | Recorded and Forecasted Values | | Specific Impact Locations |

| Peak Wind Gusts (Immediate Coast) | | 55 to 65 miles per hour, isolated higher | | Fire Island Pines (62 mph), Atlantic City (55 mph), Cape Cod, Nantucket[5] |

| Peak Wind Gusts (Urban/Inland) | | 35 to 50 miles per hour | | LaGuardia Airport (47 mph), John F. Kennedy Airport (44 mph), Inland Massachusetts[16] |

| Rainfall Accumulation | | 4 to 8 inches, localized higher amounts | | Southeastern Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Coastal New Jersey[5] |

| Significant Wave Heights | | 15 to 30 feet | | Outer coastal waters of Massachusetts and Rhode Island, offshore buoys[19] |

The meteorological data indicates a highly anomalous, long-duration event characterized by several consecutive days of persistent onshore flow[3]. The duration of this wind stress is a critical variable, as it facilitated the continuous piling of oceanic water against the continental shelf[13].

Physical Mechanisms of Coastal Cyclogenesis

Understanding the intensification sequence of the September 2026 nor'easter requires an examination of the fundamental physical mechanisms driving coastal cyclogenesis. Unlike tropical cyclones, which rely almost exclusively on the latent heat released from warm, homogeneous ocean waters, extratropical cyclones are primarily driven by baroclinic instability[2]. Baroclinic instability manifests in atmospheric environments characterized by strong horizontal temperature gradients, where the atmosphere attempts to restore thermodynamic equilibrium by transferring dense, cold polar air equatorward and buoyant, warm subtropical air poleward[1].

The Miller Classification Paradigm

Within synoptic meteorology, nor'easters are traditionally categorized utilizing the Miller classification system, a paradigm that differentiates these storms based on their cyclogenetic origins, kinematic development, and geographic trajectories[20]. The two primary classifications are designated as Miller Type A and Miller Type B[8].

| Classification Type || Cyclogenetic Origin || Trajectory and Synoptic Dynamics |

| Miller Type A || Gulf of Mexico or the deep Southeastern United States coastal margin[20]. || The system tracks continuously northeastward along the eastern seaboard. It is driven by a single, deepening low-pressure center that utilizes the continuous coastal temperature gradient to intensify[21]. |

| Miller Type B || Interior United States (e.g., Ohio Valley or the Midwest)[20]. |
| An initial primary low-pressure system weakens as it approaches the Appalachian Mountains. Its energy is transferred to a newly developing secondary low off the Mid-Atlantic coast, triggering rapid offshore cyclogenesis[8]. |

The September 2026 event exhibited characteristics of a highly dynamic coastal low deepening rapidly over the western Atlantic, drawing upon the sharp thermal boundary established between the cooling North American landmass of early autumn and the relatively warm waters of the Atlantic Ocean[1].

The Gulf Stream, Latent Heat Fluxes, and Baroclinicity

A critical variable governing the explosive development of Atlantic nor'easters is the presence of the Gulf Stream, the warm western boundary current of the North Atlantic Ocean[1]. As cold, dry continental air masses transition eastward off the North American continent, they encounter the highly elevated sea surface temperatures of the Gulf Stream. This thermal disparity generates massive upward fluxes of both sensible heat, representing direct temperature transfer, and latent heat, representing the energy absorbed or released during the phase changes of atmospheric water[10].

The role of latent heat release cannot be overstated in the context of intense extratropical cyclogenesis[10]. As oceanic moisture is evaporated and transported upward within the cyclonic circulation, the vapor condenses into clouds and precipitation. This phase transition from vapor to liquid releases latent heat directly into the middle and upper troposphere, warming the interior of the air column[10]. This localized warming forces the surface pressure to drop further, thereby steepening the pressure gradient and accelerating the surface winds. Faster surface winds, in a cyclical manner, drive even higher rates of evaporation and turbulent heat transfer from the ocean surface, establishing a positive feedback loop that facilitates rapid and profound cyclonic deepening[8].

In recent atmospheric research, high-resolution models have demonstrated that sensible heat fluxes can exceed 5 Watts per square meter, and latent heat fluxes can exceed 20 Watts per square meter over the Gulf Stream during these events, acting as primary catalysts for storm intensification[23]. Sensitivities in these fluxes indicate that sea surface temperature anomalies in the Atlantic directly govern the

available moisture and, consequently, the total latent energy available to fuel the cyclone[10].

Biomechanical Vulnerabilities and Aerodynamic Canopy Drag

While the meteorological parameters of a storm dictate its absolute energetic output, the temporal occurrence of the storm often dictates its specific socio-economic impact. Because the September 2026 nor'easter struck during the early autumn, the deciduous tree canopy across the Northeast corridor remained fully foliated[16]. This ecological reality drastically altered the aerodynamic properties of the urban and suburban landscape, fundamentally amplifying the storm's destructive potential on civil infrastructure[16].

In computational fluid dynamics and boundary layer meteorology, the physical interaction between wind fields and vegetation is mathematically modeled using specific drag formulations. The resistance that a tree canopy exerts on ambient wind is related to its aerodynamic porosity and leaf-area density[25]. Recent literature standardizes this interaction using a specific metric known as the drag length. The drag length is defined conceptually as the inverse of the product of the leaf-area density and the volumetric drag coefficient[25].

During field experiments and numerical simulations, researchers observe that the median drag length for fully foliated trees is approximately 21 meters, whereas low vegetation, such as agricultural crops, exhibits a drag length of merely 0.7 meters[26]. Advanced scaling in wind tunnel experiments, utilizing a one-to-one-hundred geometric ratio, demonstrates that when leaves remain firmly attached to branches, the leaf-area density is maximized[26]. This maximization drastically reduces the aerodynamic porosity of the tree canopy, turning the tree into a highly effective sail[25].

Consequently, the physical kinetic load transferred from the wind to the trunk and root system of the tree is substantially higher in September than it would be during a biologically dormant month such as January[16]. To quantify this risk, hybrid empirical and mechanistic wind risk models, such as ForestGALES, have been utilized to calculate the vulnerability index of individual trees along powerline corridors, achieving area under the curve metrics of 0.67 in predicting tree falls[27].

During the September 2026 event, the saturated soils resulting from the storm's heavy precipitation bands significantly reduced the shear strength and anchoring capacity of the root systems[5]. The intersection of gale-force winds, maximized vegetative drag coefficients, and compromised soil stability created an optimal environment for widespread biomechanical tree failure. This cascading failure mechanism served as the primary driver for the extensive power grid disruptions, downed electrical lines, and transportation blockages observed across New England, New Jersey, and the broader Mid-Atlantic region[16].

Coastal Hydrodynamics: Storm Surge, Astronomical Forcing, and Geomorphology

The most economically destructive and hazardous element of the September 2026 nor'easter was the severe coastal flooding driven by complex hydrodynamic surges[13]. The elevation of the ocean surface during an extratropical cyclone is

not a singular phenomenon; rather, it is the cumulative sum of several distinct physical processes occurring simultaneously: the inverse barometer effect, wind-driven setup, astronomical tides, and wave-induced momentum transfer[29].

The inverse barometer effect occurs because the atmospheric pressure inside the cyclone is significantly lower than the surrounding environment, permitting the ocean surface to expand and bulge upward. However, the dominant driver of the coastal flooding in the September 2026 event was the persistent onshore wind stress[12]. Because the storm was stalled by the northern atmospheric block, northeast winds blew persistently over a massive fetch of the Atlantic Ocean for several consecutive days[3]. This sustained wind stress forced massive volumes of oceanic water toward the shallow continental shelf.

Astronomical Synergy and Urban Inundation

The timing of the September 2026 storm introduced a severe aggravating factor: the autumnal equinox and the Harvest Moon[18]. The occurrence of the full moon aligned with the period when solar and lunar gravitational pulls exert maximal influence on the Earth's oceans, producing exceptional astronomical high tides[18]. The superimposition of the storm's wind-driven surge atop these already elevated astronomical tides allowed total water levels to bypass natural and artificial coastal defenses entirely[13].

The impacts were widespread and severe. In Maryland, coastal flood warnings translated into tangible urban inundation; the city of Annapolis experienced flooding along Dock Street and Compromise Street, while Alexandria, Virginia, observed water approaching buildings near King and Union Streets as the Potomac River backed up[7]. Further north, the Jersey Shore faced inundation of one to two feet above ground level in low-lying communities, with widespread roadway flooding rendering escape routes impassable[7]. In New England, eastern Massachusetts braced for up to three feet of inundation, resulting in severe impacts for marine infrastructure and coastal thoroughfares[19].

Wave Setup, Dune Scarping, and Slumping Mechanisms

In addition to the sheer volume of water pushed ashore by wind stress, the hydrodynamics of the surf zone contribute significantly to coastal inundation through a localized process known as wave setup[30]. As deep-water waves transition into the shallow coastal shelf, they steepen and eventually break. The breaking of these waves transfers momentum directly into the water column, creating radiation stress gradients that elevate the mean water level at the shoreline significantly above the offshore surge level[33].

This elevated total water level initiates a destructive geomorphological process on sandy coastlines known as dune scarping[35]. When the elevated water level fully submerges the beach profile, heavy swash repeatedly collides with the base of the primary sand dunes[30]. This constant hydraulic impact undercuts the structural integrity of the dune, causing massive blocks of sediment to destabilize and slide down the dune face in a process termed slumping[33]. These sediment slumps are subsequently suspended in the turbulent water column and transported offshore by strong rip currents and bottom friction[33]. During the September 2026 storm, these

processes led to permanent beach erosion in municipalities like Ocean City, compromising natural barriers and exposing adjacent coastal infrastructure to direct wave impacts[7].

Advanced Numerical Hydrodynamic Modeling Systems

Predicting the intricate, nonlinear interactions of wind stress, astronomical tides, and wave setup requires highly sophisticated computational frameworks[36]. The forecasting accuracy demonstrated by meteorological agencies during the September 2026 nor'easter is the direct result of decades of advancement in numerical hydrodynamic modeling[36].

The two primary modeling platforms utilized in the United States for predicting coastal inundation are SLOSH (Sea, Lake, and Overland Surges from Hurricanes) and ADCIRC (ADvanced CIRCulation)[36].

| Modeling Framework | | Computational Structure | | Resolution Capabilities | | Operational Efficacy |

| SLOSH | | Utilizes a structured, curvilinear grid[36]. | | Features generally lower spatial resolution. Focuses on broad, regional surge predictions[36]. | | Computationally lightweight; executed in minutes, ideal for rapid operational forecasts and ensemble probabilities[36]. |

| ADCIRC | | Utilizes an unstructured, finite-element mesh[36]. | | Extremely high resolution. Triangular mesh cells vary in size, providing massive detail along complex coastlines, narrow estuaries, and barrier islands[36]. | | Highly intensive; requires deployment on high-performance supercomputers and takes hours to complete a single simulation[36]. |

While SLOSH remains a vital operational standard for rapid deployment, ADCIRC represents the state-of-the-art in hydrodynamic precision[36]. ADCIRC solves time-dependent, free-surface circulation and transport equations in both two and three dimensions[38]. Its unstructured mesh allows modelers to seamlessly transition from deep-ocean boundary conditions to highly localized, high-resolution topographies, mapping the specific fluid dynamics of individual tidal inlets or coastal highway embankments with exceptional accuracy[36].

Coupled Wave-Current Systems: ADCIRC, SWAN, and FVCOM-SWAVE

A critical evolution in contemporary coastal modeling is the integration of hydrodynamic models with advanced spectral wave models, such as SWAN (Simulating Waves Nearshore) or the finite-volume formulation FVCOM-SWAVE[40]. Historically, storm surge models treated wind-driven water levels and wind-driven waves as isolated mathematical entities. However, geophysical fluid dynamics dictate that these processes are inextricably coupled and continuously influence one another[34].

In a tightly coupled ADCIRC and SWAN simulation, ADCIRC calculates the ambient water levels and depth-averaged velocities, continuously passing this localized data to SWAN[40]. SWAN utilizes these dynamically altering water depths to accurately model wave propagation, shoaling, and breaking, computing the localized radiation stress gradients representing the momentum transfer from the breaking waves. SWAN then passes these calculated radiation stresses back to ADCIRC, which incorporates them

directly into its momentum equations to simulate wave setup[34].

Numerical experiments utilizing these coupled models reveal that the presence of waves can drastically alter maximum storm surge heights[34]. Furthermore, the relationship exhibits complex, non-linear behaviors based on storm kinematics. For example, for storms traveling at very slow translation speeds -- such as the stalled September 2026 nor'easter -- the wave-enhanced bottom friction within the surf zone combined with the extended duration of the forcing can occasionally counteract the maximum inland inundation distance[34]. This results in highly complex, highly localized flooding profiles where deeper water is restricted to the immediate shoreline, a phenomenon that can only be accurately mapped and predicted by coupled unstructured models like ADCIRC and SWAN[34].

Climatological Context and Secular Intensification Trends

The severity of the September 2026 nor'easter requires rigorous examination within the broader context of anthropogenic climate change. Because extratropical cyclones operate on fundamentally different thermodynamic principles than tropical cyclones, their response to global atmospheric warming is complex, multifaceted, and occasionally counterintuitive[9].

Arctic Amplification and the Diminishing Aggregate Frequency of Nor'easters

Atmospheric physics dictates that the total available baroclinic energy -- the essential fuel for extratropical cyclones -- is directly proportional to the meridional temperature gradient existing between the equator and the poles[9]. Observational climate data and projections from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change confirm the ongoing reality of a phenomenon known as Arctic amplification[8]. Due to the loss of reflective sea ice and changes in ocean heat transport, the polar regions are warming at a rate significantly faster than the lower latitudes[8].

Because the Arctic is warming faster than the tropics, the overall pole-to-equator temperature gradient is actively relaxing[8]. This reduction in baroclinicity leads to a robust consensus across fully coupled climate models: the overall total number of extratropical cyclones, including moderate-level nor'easters, is expected to decrease over the twenty-first century[8]. Concurrently, shifts in the jet stream associated with this altered thermal gradient are expected to influence the specific tracks these storms take, introducing variability into historical predictability[43].

The Intensification of the Extreme Upper Percentile

However, a decrease in the aggregate frequency of storms does not correlate with a decrease in extreme, localized impacts. In a comprehensive 2025 study published in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS), researchers analyzed historical nor'easter tracks and intensities spanning from 1940 through 2025[9]. By deploying Lagrangian cyclone tracking algorithms on long-term reanalysis datasets, the researchers successfully filtered out spurious data by mandating that tracked storms travel a minimum distance of 1,000 kilometers and persist for at least 24

hours[8]. This methodology allowed them to separate standard, moderate nor'easters from the most extreme events, specifically those ranking above the 66th percentile in overall intensity[8].

Utilizing quantile regression to evaluate the data, the PNAS analysis concluded that while the median intensity of all nor'easters remained relatively static over the eighty-five-year period, the maximum wind speeds of the most intense nor'easters exhibited a statistically significant increasing trend[8]. Specifically, the maximum wind speeds of these top-tier storms have increased by approximately six percent since 1940[44]. Due to the non-linear relationship between wind speed and dynamic pressure, a six percent increase in wind velocity equates to an approximate twenty percent increase in the storm's destructive potential[44]. Furthermore, the study determined that hourly precipitation rates in these severe storms have increased by roughly ten percent[44].

This paradoxical combination -- fewer storms overall, but significantly more powerful extreme storms -- is driven by oceanic and thermodynamic variables that actively outcompete the relaxing meridional temperature gradient during select synoptic events[24].

First, global sea surface temperatures, particularly along the Gulf Stream boundary, have risen substantially[10]. This elevated oceanic warmth heightens the localized thermal contrast between the ocean and the cooling autumnal landmass, acting as a potent localized driver for Miller Type A and Type B cyclogenesis[8]. Second, the Clausius-Clapeyron relation dictates that a warmer atmosphere possesses a higher saturation vapor pressure, enabling it to hold exponentially more water vapor[8]. When an extreme nor'easter forms, it can access a vastly expanded reservoir of atmospheric moisture. As this moisture is drawn into the cyclone's circulation and condenses into precipitation, it releases a proportionately larger volume of latent heat[8]. This massive injection of latent energy rapidly lowers the central pressure of the storm, steepening the pressure gradient, expanding the physical wind field, and escalating precipitation rates[24].

Furthermore, oceanic shifts such as the projected weakening of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) may slightly reduce warming in northern latitudes, altering the specific regional thermodynamics, but doing little to blunt the extreme precipitation and wind output of individual extreme cyclonic events[46].

Consequently, events such as the September 2026 nor'easter are operating in an atmospheric environment primed for extreme energetic output. While the specific atmospheric blocking patterns that stalled the storm offshore are subject to natural mid-latitude variability, the raw precipitation volume and the elevated baseline sea levels upon which the storm surge acts are directly modulated by secular climatic warming[46]. As baseline sea levels rise along the eastern seaboard, the physical threshold required to achieve moderate or major coastal flooding lowers correspondingly[48]. Therefore, a nor'easter possessing an identical atmospheric pressure in 2026 produces a substantially deeper and more damaging inundation footprint than an identical meteorological system would have produced in the mid-twentieth century[48].

Synthesis and Structural Conclusion

The extratropical cyclone impacting the North American eastern seaboard in late September 2026 stands as a formidable manifestation of complex atmospheric, hydrodynamic, and biomechanical interactions. Synoptically, the event highlights the extreme impacts that occur when a rapidly deepening coastal low is arrested by high-latitude atmospheric blocking, resulting in prolonged duration onshore wind stress, immense precipitation accumulations, and continuous wave battering along a highly vulnerable coastline[3].

The physical mechanics driving this system trace back to the principles of baroclinic instability along the continental margin, heavily augmented by massive latent and sensible heat fluxes originating from the anomalously warm waters of the Gulf Stream[8]. The storm's anomalous early-season arrival compounded its physical impacts, as the fully foliated regional tree canopy drastically elevated aerodynamic drag lengths, leading to heightened biomechanical infrastructure failure and power grid disruptions compared to an identical meteorological event occurring in mid-winter[16].

From a coastal engineering and forecasting perspective, the storm validates the absolute necessity of advanced, coupled numerical modeling. Frameworks such as ADCIRC coupled with SWAN provide the high-resolution, finite-element mesh required to mathematically render the nonlinear physical interactions between wind stress, wave setup, astronomical high tides, and surf zone bottom friction[34].

Finally, placing this event within the modern climatological record confirms an emerging, complex paradigm in mid-latitude weather extremes. While Arctic amplification and the resultant relaxation of the pole-to-equator thermal gradient may serve to reduce the aggregate frequency of standard extratropical cyclones, the thermodynamics of a warming atmosphere and rising sea surface temperatures dictate an increase in the maximum potential intensity of extreme nor'easters[9]. Boosted by vast injections of latent heat and operating upon elevated baseline sea levels, the upper echelon of these coastal storms is becoming quantifiably more destructive[8]. The September 2026 nor'easter serves as a critical, real-time case study in this evolving atmospheric reality, underscoring the urgent need for enhanced coastal resilience, dynamic grid infrastructure management, and continued investment in high-performance hydrodynamic modeling to mitigate the impacts of future synoptic-scale events.

Works Cited

- * What is a Nor'easter? - National Weather Service, <https://www.weather.gov/safety/winter-noreaster>
- * Baroclinic instability in saturated environment. - Cnr-Isac, <https://www.isac.cnr.it/dinamica/baroclinic/index.html>
- * New York and Boston face weekend nor'easter with high winds, <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2026/sep/23/nor-easter-new-york-boston-new-england>
- * High Wind and Coastal flood alerts issued as nor'easter brings coastal flooding to Virginia,

- * Trends in coastal storm impacts are not only about changes ... - PMC,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12377768/>
- * arXiv:2411.01570v1 [physics.flu-dyn] 3 Nov 2024, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2411.01570>
- * [2411.01570] The drag length is key to quantifying tree canopy drag,
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.01570>
- * Predicting the risk of individual tree fall along powerlines in Norway,
<https://nhess.copernicus.org/articles/26/2461/2026/nhess-26-2461-2026.pdf>
- * Windthrow of Trees: Storms or Management - ISA Arbor,
https://www.isa-arbor.com/events/schedule/resources/167/Moore_WindthrowTrees.pdf
- * Dune erosion - Coastal Wiki, https://www.coastalwiki.org/wiki/Dune_erosion
- * Dune erosion during storm surges - TU Delft Research Portal,
<https://research.tudelft.nl/en/publications/dune-erosion-during-storm-surges-2/>
- * Boston, Massachusetts, New England weather forecast, coastal flood warning for east coast: Nor'easter this,
<https://m.economictimes.com/news/international/us/boston-massachusetts-new-england-weather-forecast-coastal-flood-warning-for->
- * Beach Erosion Potential for Severe Nor'easters,
<https://journals.flvc.org/jcr/article/download/81292/78431/93755>
- * CONTINUOUS FIELD MEASUREMENTS OF DUNE SLUMPING,
<https://icce-ojs-tamu.tdl.org/icce/article/view/14178>
- * Modeling wave effects on storm surge and coastal inundation,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327026716_Modeling_wave_effects_on_storm_surge_and_coastal_inundation
- * Observations of wave run-up affected by dune scarp during storm,
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/marine-science/articles/10.3389/fmars.2024.1369418/full>
- * Pre-Visit Activity 2: Computer Models | Coastal Studies Institute,
https://www.coastalstudiesinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/RWPrevisit2_ComputerModels.pdf
- * Whitepaper on the Development of a Unified Forecast System for,
https://repository.library.noaa.gov/view/noaa/43724/noaa_43724_DS1.pdf
- * - ADCIRC, <https://adcirc.org/>
- * Comparison of spatial distributions of the storm surge estimated from,
https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Comparison-of-spatial-distributions-of-the-storm-surge-estimated-from-the-SLOSH-and_fig5_2
- * Delft University of Technology Document Version Final published,
https://pure.tudelft.nl/ws/portalfiles/portal/52938535/Hopkins_et_al_2018_Journal_of_Geophysical_Research_Oceans.pdf
- * Extreme wave and storm surge characteristics in the southeastern,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12289900/>
- * Modeling of Coastal Inundation in Response to a Tropical Cyclone,
https://web.iitd.ac.in/~adrao/publications/2021_1.pdf
- * The future North Atlantic jet stream and storm track - WCD,
<https://wcd.copernicus.org/articles/6/669/2025/>
- * "When will the big nor'easters return?" | Article by Dave Epstein in,

<https://www.facebook.com/MichaelMannScientist/posts/when-will-the-big-noreasters-return-article-by-dave-epstein-in-the-boston-globe>

* The Jet Stream Just LOCKED This Dangerous Threat Into Place!,

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0kOS3JNCmLU>

* Chapter 4: Large-scale atmosphere-ocean processes affecting climate,

<https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/science-technology/changing-climate-report-2026/cccr-chapter-4-environmental-science.html>

* mann-research/KC-PNAS * GitHub - Nor'easters,

<https://github.com/mann-research/KC-PNAS>

* A Nor'easter is set to slam into the East Coast. Climate Change is,

<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/weather-nyc-storm-east-coast-noreaster-b3056566.html>