Ecology & Evolution | Mar 2, 2026 | 8 min read | By Bryan White

Survival of the Smartest: How Pathogens 'Calculate' Their Next Move

Biology * Evolution * Microbes

Reconciling Evolutionary Game Theory and Pathogen Genomics

Clinical microbiology is undergoing a profound conceptual transformation. Historically, microbial virulence -- the degree of damage a pathogen inflicts upon its host -- was treated as a static trait hardwired into a microorganism's genetic architecture. However, longitudinal sequencing combined with mathematical modeling has shown that virulence is a fluid, context-dependent life-history strategy.

This paradigm shift is synthesized in Damien F. Meyer's 2026 Microbiology Outlooks commentary, "When theory meets genomics: reconciling game dynamics and within-host evolution."[1] Meyer reframes host-pathogen interactions as a "strategic negotiation" in which microbes dynamically allocate metabolic resources in response to host immunity, resident microbiota, and antimicrobial therapy.[1] Merging evolutionary game theory with dense genomic surveillance resolves the paradox of in vitro virulence attenuation and establishes the foundation for "predictive genomics" and "evolutionary steering" -- interventions that manipulate selective pressures to guide pathogens toward less virulent, drug-susceptible phenotypes.[1]

Within-Host Mutation Rates and the Economics of Virulence

Viewing bacterial pathogens as evolutionary strategists relies on whole-genome sequencing of longitudinal clinical isolates. Whereas macroevolutionary calibrations estimated bacterial substitution rates at 10-10 to 10-9 per site per year, deep sequencing reveals that short-term, within-host evolutionary rates reach 10-7 to 10-5 substitutions per site per year.[2] As Tonkin-Hill et al. (2025) note in Nature Microbiology, invading bacteria confront immune surveillance, nutrient scarcity, and microbiome competition by generating diversity via point mutations, chromosomal rearrangements, and horizontal gene transfer.[1][2] Tracking non-synonymous (amino acid-altering) to synonymous substitution ratios (dN/dS) pinpoints loci undergoing positive selection within host tissues.[3]

Classical epidemiological models -- such as the "Trade-off Hypothesis" -- posit that natural selection balances host exploitation against inter-host transmission,[4][5] while antagonistic coevolution ("Red Queen" genetic mutation) and mutualistic "Red King" dynamics describe inter-species arms races.[7] Yet these macroscopic models struggle to capture multi-strain competition inside a single tissue compartment.[5][6] Meyer's framework applies economic game theory across a metabolic payoff matrix governed by Nash equilibria.[1][8] Pathogens budget finite adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and amino acids between immune evasion (capsules, efflux pumps, secretion systems) and rapid cell division.[1][9] Under high immune pressure, selection favors defensive investment despite slower growth; when host defenses are relaxed, virulence machinery becomes a metabolic burden, shifting the equilibrium toward unrestrained replication.[1]

Resolving the In Vitro Attenuation Paradox via Game Dynamics

Economic game theory mathematically resolves why virulent pathogens lose pathogenicity when serially passaged in laboratory media -- a phenomenon used to produce live-attenuated vaccines.[9] Tago and Meyer (2016) modeled obligate intracellular bacteria (Ehrlichia ruminantium, Chlamydia, Rickettsia) navigating the host ecosystem via two strategies: Strategy B1 (Evasion-First), which expends ATP to neutralize host defenses before dividing, and Strategy B2 (Replication-First), which invests all ATP directly into growth.[9]

In Environment H2 (Complete Defense) in vivo -- combining extracellular macrophages and T cells with intracellular reactive oxygen species -- B2 mutants are cleared before replicating, making virulent Strategy B1 the sole viable equilibrium.[9] In Environment H1 (Incomplete Defense) in vitro, where extracellular immunity is absent, B2 mutants avoid the ATP tax of virulence effectors and outcompete B1 strains across serial passages.[9] Strain-specific attenuation rates in E. ruminantium (Gardel >200 passages, Senegal ~60, Welgevonden ~40) reflect quantitative differences in baseline replication probability and effector burden -- costs mapped computationally by the S4TE 2.0 algorithm across Type IV secretion repertoires.[9][10]

Genomic Signatures of the Evasion-Replication Trade-Off

This economic trade-off drives convergent evolution during chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections in cystic fibrosis (CF) lungs. Confronting dehydrated mucus, neutrophil oxidative stress, and intensive antibiotic regimens, environmental P. aeruginosa isolates accumulate patho-adaptive mutations that sacrifice motility and transmission capacity for localized defense ("short-sighted evolution"), tracked across multivariate fitness landscapes via Mahalanobis distance.[1][12][13][16]

| Gene | | Mechanistic Function | | Evolutionary Trade-Off in Chronic CF Infection |

| lasR | | Master transcriptional regulator of quorum-sensing proteases and toxins.[14] | | Loss-of-function mutations eliminate the ATP cost of acute public goods, favoring stealth biofilm survival.[13] |

| mexZ | | Repressor of the MexXY multidrug efflux pump.[14] | | Inactivation drives constitutive drug efflux at the cost of a continuous, growth-retarding ATP drain.[14] |

| mexA | | Membrane fusion subunit of the MexAB-OprM RND pump.[14] | | Variants optimize antibiotic extrusion while slowing division velocity.[14] |

| hcpA | | Structural tube/effector of the Type VI Secretion System (T6SS).[11] | | Modulated via phase variation; deployed only under dense microbial competition.[11] |

| mucA | | Anti-sigma factor repressing alginate biosynthesis.[13] | | Inactivation triggers mucoid conversion, shielding cells from phagocytes while slowing replication.[13] |

Bet-Hedging, Predictive Genomics, and Evolutionary Steering

Pathogens also buffer fluctuating environments via phenotypic plasticity and stochastic bet-hedging.[17][18] Slow-growing "persister" cells sacrifice immediate division to survive sudden antibiotic pulses.[18] Under hypoxia, Paracoccus denitrificans expresses terminal NOS reductase across all cells while restricting upstream NIR/NOR synthesis to a stochastic minority,[19] whereas Burkholderia thailandensis uses IS2-like elements to reversibly duplicate a 208-kb, 150-gene locus, toggling between biofilm and planktonic lifestyles.[18]

Integrating game dynamics with population sequencing enables predictive genomics.[1] After pneumococcal conjugate vaccines (PCVs) eliminated targeted Streptococcus pneumoniae serotypes, Negative Frequency-Dependent Selection (NFDS) models applied to 937 isolates assigned top fitness scores to rare accessory-genome lineages SC-10 (8.6) and SC-24 (7.2), accurately forecasting post-vaccine strain replacement.[20][21] Clinicians can exploit these constraints via evolutionary steering:[1][15]

| Steering Strategy | | Mechanistic Selection Pressure | | Clinical Outcome & Payoff Manipulation |

| Phage-Antibiotic Synergy | | Lytic bacteriophages bind outer-membrane efflux proteins (TolC, OprM).[15] | | Phage resistance forces efflux pump loss, restoring ceftazidime susceptibility in chronic biofilms.[15] |

| Quorum Sensing Inhibitors | | Compounds block autoinducer signaling molecules.[15] | | Selects for non-cooperative "cheater" mutants, collapsing population virulence.[15] |

| Collateral Sensitivity Cycling | | Sequential drug pairs with orthogonal resistance landscapes.[22] | | Resistance to Drug A induces hypersusceptibility to Drug B, preventing multidrug resistance.[22] |

Strategic Negotiation and the Risk of Evolutionary Trade-Ups

Reconciling evolutionary game theory with pathogen genomics shifts infectious disease therapy from brute-force eradication toward ecological steering.[1][23] Yet clinical translation must account for tissue spatial refuges and "evolutionary trade-ups" -- compensatory mutations that confer simultaneous resistance to phages and antibiotics without fitness penalties -- making real-time genomic surveillance indispensable.[15][24]

Updates... (Nov 23, 2026): Removed numbered section headings, normalized the References heading, corrected Alizon et al. (Ref. 4) publication date to Jan 19, 2009, and added exact CrossRef publication date May 24, 2022 to Ref. 17.

References

* Meyer, D. F. (Feb 19, 2026). When theory meets genomics: reconciling game dynamics and within-host evolution. Microbiology Outlooks. -- https://doi.org/10.1099/mout.0.00001

* Didelot, X., Walker, A. S., Peto, T. E., Crook, D. W., & Wilson, D. J. (Jan 19, 2016). Within-host evolution of bacterial pathogens. Nature Reviews Microbiology. --

https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2015.13

* Tonkin-Hill, G., Ruis, C., et al. (Jul 25, 2025). Within-host bacterial evolution and the emergence of pathogenicity. Nature Microbiology. -- https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/40715782/

* Alizon, S., Hurford, A., Mideo, N., & Van Baalen, M. (Jan 19, 2009). Virulence evolution and the trade-off hypothesis: history, current state of affairs and the future. Journal of Evolutionary Biology. -- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2008.01658.x

* Frank, S. A. (Mar 1, 1996). Models of Parasite Virulence. The Quarterly Review of Biology, 71(1), 37-78. -- https://doi.org/10.1086/419267

* Cressler, C. E., McLeod, D. V., Rozins, C., van den Hoogen, J., & Day, T. (Aug 25, 2015). The adaptive evolution of virulence: a review of theoretical predictions and empirical tests. Parasitology. -- https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118201500092X

* Veller, C., Hayward, L. K., Hilbe, C., & Nowak, M. A. (Jun 19, 2017). The Red Queen and King in finite populations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. -- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1702020114

* Dwivedi, S., Garde, R., et al. (Jan 30, 2025). How hosts and pathogens choose the strengths of defense and counterdefense: a game-theoretical view. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution. -- https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2024.1379868

* Tago, D., & Meyer, D. F. (Aug 25, 2016). Economic Game Theory to Model the Attenuation of Virulence of an Obligate Intracellular Bacterium. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology. -- https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2016.00086

* Noroy, C., Lefran ois, T., & Meyer, D. F. (Mar 25, 2019). Searching algorithm for Type IV effector proteins (S4TE) 2.0: Improved tools for Type IV effector prediction, analysis and comparison in proteobacteria. PLOS Computational Biology. -- https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006847

* Choudhury, S. T., Lindberg, S. K., et al. (Jan 6, 2026). Genomic variants distinguish Pseudomonas aeruginosa from cystic fibrosis sputum and bloodstream infection. BMC Infectious Diseases. -- https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-025-12501-1

* Malhotra, S., Hayes, D., & Wozniak, D. J. (May 28, 2019). Cystic Fibrosis and Pseudomonas aeruginosa: the Host-Microbe Interface. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. -- https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00138-18

* Dettman, J. R., & Kassen, R. (Sep 8, 2020). Evolutionary Genomics of Niche-Specific Adaptation to the Cystic Fibrosis Lung in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 38(2), 663-675. -- https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa226

* Smith, E. E., Buckley, D. G., Wu, Z., et al. (May 11, 2006). Genetic adaptation by Pseudomonas aeruginosa to the airways of cystic fibrosis patients. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. -- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0602138103

* Burmeister, A. R., Hansen, E., et al. (Dec 30, 2020). Fighting microbial pathogens by integrating host ecosystem interactions and evolution. BioEssays. -- https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.202000272

* Schick, A., & Kassen, R. (Oct 1, 2018). Rapid diversification of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis lung-like conditions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. -- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1721270115

* Tate, A. T., & Van Cleve, J. (May 24, 2022). Bet-hedging in innate and adaptive immune systems. Evolution, Medicine, and Public Health, 10(1), 256-265. -- https://doi.org/10.1093/emph/eoac021

* Lowrey, L. C., Kent, L. A., et al. (Jan 30, 2023). An IS-mediated, RecA-dependent, bet-hedging strategy in Burkholderia thailandensis. eLife. -- https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.84327

* Lycus, P., Soriano-Laguna, M. J., et al. (Nov 1, 2018). A bet-hedging strategy for denitrifying bacteria curtails their release of N2O. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. -- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1805000115

* Mortimer, T. D. (Jul 26, 2024). mSphere of Influence: Predicting the evolution of pathogen populations. mSphere. -- https://doi.org/10.1128/msphere.00432-24

* Azarian, T., Martinez, P. P., Arnold, B. J., et al. (Oct 22, 2020). Frequency-dependent selection can forecast evolution in Streptococcus pneumoniae. PLOS Biology. -- https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000878

* Batchelder, J. I., Hare, P. J., & Mok, W. W. K. (Jan 30, 2023). Resistance-resistant antibacterial treatment strategies. Frontiers in Antibiotics. -- https://doi.org/10.3389/frabi.2023.1093156

* West, J., Adler, F., et al. (Mar 23, 2023). A survey of open questions in adaptive therapy: Bridging mathematics and clinical translation. eLife. -- https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.84263

* Wu, Y., Pu, F., et al. (Sep 4, 2025). Geographic containment and virulence-resistance trade-offs drive the evolution of hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae. iMeta. -- https://doi.org/10.1002/imt2.70077