Health & Medicine | Jan 22, 2026 | 8 min read | By Bryan White

US Exits WHO Again Leaving $278 Million Unpaid Tab

Public Health * Ethics * Economics

The Structural Rupture of Post-War Global Health Governance

On January 22, 2026, the global health architecture underwent its most significant rupture since the Second World War as the United States formally finalized its withdrawal from the World Health Organization (WHO).[1][2] Precipitated by Executive Order 14292, signed on January 20, 2025, the departure concluded a one-year notice period while leaving unresolved $278 million in assessed financial arrears.[1]

Rather than retreating into isolationism, Washington launched the "America First Global Health Strategy," a bilateral framework seeking to replicate epidemiological surveillance through government-to-government agreements. Multi-billion-dollar Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) with partner states including Nigeria, Kenya, and Uganda now condition health aid on the rapid transfer of pathogen specimens directly to U.S. agencies.[3] Concurrently, the WHO secured a $500 million pledge from China and adopted the May 2025 Pandemic Agreement without U.S. participation, bifurcating global biosecurity into a multilateral "Geneva System" and a transactional "Washington System."[4][5]

Constitutional Mechanics and the $278 Million Arrears Crisis

The legal validity of the withdrawal remains contested among public health and constitutional scholars, centering on the Joint Resolution of June 14, 1948 (Public Law 80-643) through which the United States joined the WHO.[6] Because the WHO Constitution lacks a general withdrawal clause, Section 4 of the 1948 Resolution established two statutory conditions for U.S. exit: a one-year notice of intent and full payment of financial obligations for the current fiscal year.[7]

While the January 2025 Executive Order satisfied the notice period, its immediate freeze on funding violated the statutory payment mandate.[1][9] Executive branch counsel invoked plenary Article II foreign affairs authority and asserted an economic "set-off" counterclaim, arguing that the WHO's early COVID-19 response inflicted $14 trillion to $16 trillion in U.S. economic damages.[1] Legal critics and the Infectious Diseases Society of America counter that statutory membership requires statutory compliance for termination.[8] Although WHO Legal Officer Steven Solomon acknowledged that the agency cannot compel sovereign membership -- leaving the U.S. seat vacant and personnel recalled as of January 22, 2026 -- the unpaid assessments remain on the WHO ledger.[1][2]

| Obligation Type | | Fiscal Year | | Estimated Amount (USD) | | Status | | Statutory Basis |

| Assessed Contribution | | 2024 | | $129,886,000 | | Unpaid | | Treaty Obligation / P.L. 80-643 |

| Assessed Contribution | | 2025 | | $148,000,000 | | Unpaid | | Treaty Obligation / P.L. 80-643 |

| Voluntary Commitments | | 2024-2025 | | ~$490,000,000 | | Cancelled | | Discretionary Grants |

| Total Legal Arrears | | 2024-2026 | | ~$277,886,000 | | Outstanding | | Assessed Dues Only |

Unlike discretionary voluntary grants (~$490 million cancelled), GDP-indexed assessed contributions constitute binding international debt.[1][9] Following the precedent of U.S. withdrawals from UNESCO in 1984 and 2018, where over $600 million in arrears stripped U.S. voting rights, this unpaid balance erects a lasting barrier against future re-entry.[10][11]

The "America First" Bilateral Strategy vs. Multilateral Governance

Released by the State Department in September 2025, the America First Global Health Strategy replaces UN multilateralism with five-year Bilateral Agreements on Global Health Cooperation (2026-2030) structured around biosecurity surveillance, diplomatic leverage, and domestic supply-chain procurement.[3][12] By January 2026, Washington had executed at least 14 MOUs across Sub-Saharan Africa.[3]

| Partner Nation | | Total MOU Value (5 Years) | | U.S. Contribution | | Partner Co-Investment | | Key Strategic Focus Areas |

| Nigeria | | $5.1 Billion | | $2.1 Billion | | High (Graduated) | | HIV/AIDS (PEPFAR transition), Biosecurity |

| Kenya | | $2.5 Billion | | $1.6 Billion | | ~$1.0 Billion | | EMR Systems, Real-time Surveillance |

| Uganda | | $2.3 Billion | | $1.7 Billion | | $577 Million | | Workforce, Supply Chain Integration |

| Mozambique | | $1.8 Billion | | High % | | High Dependency | | Malaria, TB, Commodity Security |

| Ethiopia | | $1.5 Billion | | High % | | Variable | | Emergency Response, Border Health |

| Rwanda | | $228 Million | | $158 Million | | Moderate | | Laboratory Systems, Data Sharing |

Under "Pathogen for Aid" clauses, partner nations must transfer biological specimens and genomic sequencing data of epidemic-potential pathogens directly to U.S. agencies within five days of detection.[13] Unlike the WHO's Pandemic Influenza Preparedness (PIP) Framework and the May 2025 WHO Pandemic Agreement -- whose Pathogen Access and Benefit-Sharing (PABS) system guarantees equitable allocations of diagnostics and vaccines -- the U.S. bilateral contracts sever specimen sharing from countermeasure access.[5][13] This model collides with genetic resource sovereignty under the Nagoya Protocol, prompting Kenya's High Court in late 2025 to enjoin the data-sharing provisions of the U.S.-Kenya MOU.[14][15] Meanwhile, at the 78th World Health Assembly in May 2025, China pledged $500 million over five years alongside a 20% member-state assessment increase that brings Beijing's 2026 dues (~$137 million) near historic U.S. levels.[4][16]

Technical Degradation: GISRS Surveillance, Polio Eradication, and Legislative Lock-In

The U.S. exit directly impairs two global networks controlling infectious RNA viruses: the Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System (GISRS) and the Global Polio Eradication Initiative (GPEI). Established in 1952, GISRS links 152 National Influenza Centers across 129 countries to seven WHO Collaborating Centers, historically anchored by the U.S. CDC in Atlanta.[17] Recalling U.S. personnel removes the CDC from the PIP Framework's Standard Material Transfer Agreements, creating Nagoya Protocol legal barriers against shipping novel influenza strains to Atlanta.[1][15] This blinds U.S. seasonal vaccine formulation to emerging global strains while depriving GISRS of Atlanta's high-throughput sequencing capacity.[18][19]

Simultaneously, halting U.S. polio contributions -- which exceeded $200 million annually and $11 billion since 1988 -- jeopardizes eradication in Afghanistan and Pakistan.[20][21] Under Kermack-McKendrick epidemic theory, keeping population immunity above the threshold required to suppress a pathogen's basic reproduction number (R0) requires continuous vaccination coverage. Because Washington lacks diplomatic relations with the Taliban, bilateral MOUs cannot fund vaccinators in Afghanistan, while replacing neutral UN drives with U.S.-branded operations in Pakistan risks militant backlash.[22] Domestically, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026 zeroed out WHO assessed-contribution lines in favor of ring-fenced bilateral accounts, while the BIOSECURE Act restricts federally funded U.S. researchers from collaborating with designated foreign biotechnology sequencing platforms used across WHO reference labs.[23][24][25]

Conclusion: The Biosecurity Risks of a Bifurcated World

The U.S. withdrawal from the WHO on January 22, 2026 marks the end of the post-WWII consensus on unified global health governance. By trading multilateral treaty participation and a $278 million assessed balance for transactional bilateral MOUs, Washington has prioritized direct sovereign control over biosecurity intelligence at the cost of fragmenting GISRS influenza surveillance, PABS countermeasure equity, and GPEI polio eradication. As the multilateral "Geneva System" backed by Chinese and European financing and the bilateral "Washington System" collide over Nagoya Protocol genetic sovereignty and specimen access, the resulting legal and technical blind spots leave international public health dangerously divided against future pandemic threats that recognize no geopolitical borders.

Updates... (Nov 20, 2026): Replaced 403-gated, unreachable, and PMC reCAPTCHA-protected links with verified CrossRef DOIs (Gostin et al., 2020; Yazdi-Feyzabadi et al., 2025) and active primary institutional records, added exact publication dates across all 25 references, escaped currency symbols as HTML entities to prevent LaTeX false positives, removed numbered section prefixes, and aligned inline citations 1:1.

Works Cited

* CIDRAP (Jan 22, 2026). US formally withdraws from World Health Organization, leaving debt.

https://www.cidrap.umn.edu/public-health/us-formally-withdrawals-world-health-organization-leaving-debt

* SWI swissinfo.ch (Jan 22, 2026). US Walks Away From WHO Leaving Unpaid Tab of About $260 Million. https://www.swissinfo.ch/eng/us-walks-away-from-who-leaving-unpaid-tab-of-about-%24260-million/90822778

* KFF (Nov 6, 2026). KFF Tracker: America First MOU Bilateral Global Health Agreements. https://www.kff.org/global-health-policy/kff-tracker-america-first-mou-bilateral-global-health-agreements/

* Caixin Global (May 23, 2025). $500 Million Pledge Makes China Top WHO Donor After U.S. Withdrawal. https://www.caixinglobal.com/2025-05-23/500-million-pledge-makes-china-top-who-donor-after-us-withdrawal-102322304.html

* World Health Organization (WHO) (May 20, 2025). World Health Assembly adopts historic Pandemic Agreement to make the world more equitable and safer from future pandemics. https://www.who.int/news/item/20-05-2025-world-health-assembly-adopts-historic-pandemic-agreement-to-make-the-world-more-e

* Congressional Research Service / EveryCRSReport (Oct 21, 2020). U.S. Withdrawal from the World Health Organization: Process and Implications (CRS Report R46575). https://www.everycrsreport.com/reports/R46575.html

* Yale Law School Avalon Project (Jun 14, 1948). A Decade of American Foreign Policy 1941-1949: Participation in WHO, Public Law 80-643. https://avalon.law.yale.edu/20th_century/decad052.asp

* Gostin, L. O., Koh, H. H., Williams, M., et al. (Aug 1, 2020). US withdrawal from WHO is unlawful and threatens global and US health and security. The Lancet, 396(10247), 293-295. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31527-0

* Health Policy Watch (Jan 15, 2026). Member States to Discuss US Withdrawal from WHO as Failure to Pay 2024-25 Fees Violates Legal Obligations. https://healthpolicy-watch.news/member-states-to-discuss-us-withdrawal-from-who-as-failure-to-pay-fees-violates-agreement/

* Congressional Research Service (2017). U.S. Withdrawal from the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) (CRS Insight IN10802). https://www.congress.gov/crs_external_products/IN/PDF/IN10802/IN10802.4.pdf

* The Art Newspaper (Oct 12, 2017). U.S. to pull out of Unesco by 2018. https://www.theartnewspaper.com/2017/10/12/us-to-pull-out-of-unesco-by-2018

* KFF (Mar 17, 2026). The U.S. Government and the World Health Organization. https://www.kff.org/global-health-policy/the-u-s-government-and-the-world-health-organization/

* Health Policy Watch (Nov 7, 2025). EXCLUSIVE: US Ties Global Health Aid To Data Sharing On Pathogens - Undermining WHO Talks. https://healthpolicy-watch.news/exclusive-us-ties-new-health-funding-to-pathogen-sharing-disrupting-who-talks/

* AllAfrica (Jan 20, 2026). Africa Pushes Back On US Health Deals Over Data, Power. https://allafrica.com/stories/202601200174.html

* World Health Organization (WHO) (Nov 20, 2020). Public health implications of implementation of the Nagoya Protocol. https://www.who.int/initiatives/public-health-implications-of-the-nagoya-protocol

* Health Policy Watch (Mar 9, 2025). China's 2026 WHO Fee Could Match US Levels Today - But Beijing Resists Planned Increase. https://healthpolicy-watch.news/chinas-2026-assessed-contribution-to-who-would-reach-levels-of-united-states-today-but-beijing-is-

* Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (Sep 1, 2026). Selecting Viruses for the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine. https://www.cdc.gov/flu/vaccine-process/vaccine-selection.html

* Yazdi-Feyzabadi, V., Haghdoost, A. A., et al. (Mar 18, 2025). The United States Withdrawal From the World Health Organization: Implications and Challenges. International Journal of Health Policy and Management, 14, 9086. https://doi.org/10.34172/ijhpm.9086

* Atlas Institute for International Affairs (Mar 29, 2025). U.S. Withdrawal From WHO: Global Health and Security Implications. https://atlasinstitute.org/u-s-withdrawal-from-who-global-health-and-security-implications/

* Global Polio Eradication Initiative (GPEI) (Jul 5, 2016). Historical Contributions. https://polioeradication.org/donors-financing/historical-contributions/

* Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (Sep 2, 2026). Why CDC is Working to End Polio Globally. https://www.cdc.gov/global-polio-vaccination/why/index.html

* Health Policy Watch (May 24, 2025). Polio Eradication Imperiled By $2.3 Billion Funding Gap. https://healthpolicy-watch.news/polio-eradication-imperilled-by-2-3-billion-funding-gap/

* ASTHO (Jan 2026). Summary of FY26 LHHS Bill: January 2026. https://www.astho.org/advocacy/federal-government-affairs/leg-alerts/2026/summary-fy26-lhhs-bill-january-2026/

* U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations (Jan 2026). Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026: Joint Explanatory Statement. https://www.appropriations.senate.gov/download/fy26-def-jes

* K&L Gates (Jan 20, 2026). BIOSECURE Act: What You Need to Know. https://www.klgates.com/BIOSECURE-Act-What-You-Need-to-Know-1-20-2026