Climate & Earth Systems | Jan 14, 2026 | 9 min read | By Bryan White

# Why Buying Greenland Won't Solve the Rare Earth Minerals Crisis

Environment * Climate Change * Energy

## Geopolitical Ambition vs. Lithospheric Constraints

In January 2026, Arctic geopolitics was jolted by renewed U.S. Executive Branch pressure to acquire Greenland, linking territorial control directly to critical supply chains and the proposed USD 175 billion "Golden Dome" missile defense initiative.[2][5][26] The premise is that Greenland's 1.5 million metric tons of rare earth element (REE) reserves -- among the largest inventories globally per the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) -- can offset China's dominance over roughly 70% of global rare-earth mining and concentrated midstream refining.[1][5]

Yet a stark gap separates political timelines from the physical constraints of the lithosphere. As mineral economists and Arctic engineers warned in January 2026, converting Greenlandic rock into defense-grade metals requires billions of dollars and multi-year industrial development.[3] Synthesizing mineralogical surveys of the Il maussaq complex, hydrometallurgical "chemical cracking" kinetics, and permafrost thermodynamics, this report demonstrates why territorial acquisition cannot bypass the geological, chemical, and infrastructural bottlenecks of the high Arctic.

## Defense Supply Chain Vulnerabilities and Missile Defense Material Demands

The urgency behind Washington's 2026 policy push stems from acute material bottlenecks in next-generation air-and-missile defense architectures designed to track and intercept maneuvering hypersonic glide vehicles and ballistic threats.[2][4] Two subsystem dependencies dominate this vulnerability:

* Wide-Bandgap Radar Arrays: Discriminating high-speed targets in the upper atmosphere has driven tracking radars (such as AN/TPY-2 systems) to transition from legacy silicon electronics to Gallium Nitride (GaN) semiconductors, which operate at higher voltages, temperatures, and power densities.[4] Supporting high-frequency capacitors and signal processors also rely on constrained specialty minerals.[4]

* High-Temperature Interceptor Actuators: Terminal-phase interceptors execute high-G guidance turns driven by electromechanical actuators housing Neodymium-Iron-Boron (NdFeB) permanent magnets.[5][6] Because aerodynamic heating during supersonic flight demagnetizes standard NdFeB alloys above their Curie threshold, defense magnets must be doped with heavy rare earth elements -- specifically Dysprosium (Dy) and Terbium (Tb) -- to preserve coercivity at extreme operating temperatures.[5][13]

Extraterritorial export controls tightened by Beijing in 2025 targeting trace rare-earth content underscored Western dependence on Chinese midstream separation, where the majority of global light and heavy rare-earth oxide refining remains concentrated.[1][4][5][14]

| Defense Subsystem | | Critical Material | | Greenland Host Mineral | | Operational Function | | Supply Chain Vulnerability |

| Tracking Radar (AN/TPY-2) | | Gallium Nitride (GaN) | | Byproduct trace supply (non-Il maussaq primary) | | High-power wide-bandgap target discrimination | | High (Gallium export controls concentrated in China)[4] |

| Interceptor Fin Actuators | | Dysprosium (Dy) & Terbium (Tb) | | Eudialyte (Kringlerne / Tanbreez) | | Thermal coercivity stabilization in NdFeB magnets | | Critical (Heavy REE separation concentrated in China)[1][5] |

| Guidance Motors | | Neodymium (Nd) & Praseodymium | | Steenstrupine / Eudialyte | | High-flux permanent magnet torque generation | | High (Dominated by Chinese separation capacity)[5] |

| Missile Airframes | | Scandium (Sc) | | Pyroxene / Associated silicates | | High-strength, lightweight aluminum-scandium alloys | | High (Concentrated refining capacity)[1][14] |

## The Il maussaq Mineralogical Anomaly and the Metallurgy of Chemical Cracking

Although South Greenland possesses substantial heavy rare earths,[6] its geology diverges sharply from most commercially operating REE mines on Earth. Whereas Mountain Pass (California) and Bayan Obo (China) extract rare earths from phosphate (monazite) or carbonate (bastn site) ores refined over seven decades of industrial practice, Greenland's flagship deposits sit within the 1.16-billion-year-old Mesoproterozoic Il maussaq intrusive complex.[7][8] Il maussaq is the global type locality for agpaitic peralkaline igneous rocks (where molar sodium and potassium exceed aluminum), locking rare earths inside complex refractory silicate lattices:[7][8]

* Steenstrupine-(Ce) at Kvanefjeld (Kuannersuit): Kvanefjeld's primary ore is a complex sodium-manganese rare-earth phosphosilicate intimately intergrown with uranium and thorium.[7] Over 1.1 billion years, internal alpha decay has transformed the crystal lattice into an amorphous, glass-like metamict state.[7] Because uranium (~300 ppm) is chemically bound within the same mineral grain as the rare earths, REE extraction co-produces radioactive tailings -- conflicting with Greenland's "Zero Tolerance" uranium mining ban (>100 ppm threshold) enacted in 2021.[9]

* Eudialyte at Kringlerne (Tanbreez): Kringlerne hosts rare earths -- including notable proportions of heavy Dy and Tb -- within eudialyte, a red zirconium-calcium ring silicate.[10][13] While low in radioactivity and susceptible to acid dissolution, eudialyte triggers a notorious hydrometallurgical failure mode: silica gelation.[10][11]

When ground eudialyte is leached directly in acid, its silicate rings decompose into dissolved monosilicic acid ($H_4SiO_4$), which polymerizes into three-dimensional siloxane networks that turn the leaching slurry into an unfilterable silica gel capable of trapping rare earth ions and fouling filtration membranes.[10][11] Suppressing gelation requires specialized pyrometallurgical-hydrometallurgical flowcharts -- such as rotary-kiln acid baking or controlled dry digestion to dehydrate silica into filterable precipitates prior to aqueous leaching, alongside

multi-step hydrometallurgical cracking evaluated for steenstrupine concentrates.[10][11][12] Even after pregnant leach liquor is recovered, separating the chemically similar lanthanides -- governed by the lanthanide contraction -- demands multi-hundred-stage solvent extraction (SX) refineries tailored to Greenland's zirconium-rich, heavy-REE feedstock.[13][14]

## Arctic Permafrost Thermodynamics, Infrastructure Logistics, and Legal Friction

Compounding the metallurgical bottleneck is the near absence of overland industrial corridors in Greenland, where settlements are disconnected by fjords and icecaps and major mines operate as self-contained logistics islands.[15][20] Constructing heavy processing facilities on Arctic terrain confronts phase-change permafrost thermodynamics: as warming deepens South Greenland's summer active layer, uninsulated industrial foundations conduct waste heat into ice-rich ground and risk severe differential subsidence.[15]

To preserve structural bearing capacity on warm permafrost, Arctic civil engineering relies on passive two-phase thermosyphons -- sealed evaporator pipes charged with pressurized carbon dioxide or ammonia buried beneath foundations and topped with above-ground radiator fins.[16][17] Whenever winter ambient air drops below ground temperature, subsurface refrigerant boils, rises as vapor, condenses in the fins, and returns by gravity, sub-cooling the foundation soil to preserve frozen shear strength through summer.[17][18] Beyond foundations, Greenlandic mining requires dedicated power generation and ice-reinforced deep-water fjord port facilities at steep Arctic construction multipliers.[19][20]

Empirical precedents across North America demonstrate that high-latitude mining projects require over a decade and multi-billion-dollar capital expenditures (CAPEX) to scale (Table 2).[15][19] Baffin Island's Mary River iron mine crossed more than five decades between its 1962 discovery and initial 2015 ore shipments amid steep northern logistics and infrastructure proposals exceeding USD 4 billion,[21] while Vale's underground expansion at sub-Arctic Voisey's Bay in Labrador consumed USD 2.94 billion in development capital.[22]

| Arctic Project | | Location | | Commodity | | CAPEX (USD) | | Timeline (Discovery to Production) |

| Tanbreez (Kringlerne) | | South Greenland | | REEs / Zr / Nb | | Multi-stage development | | Permitted through 2050 (Oct 2026 approvals; pre-construction)[24][25] |

| Mary River | | Baffin Island, Canada | | Iron Ore | | ~USD 4.0B+ (Phase 2 scope) | | 50+ Years (1962 discovery, 2015 ore)[21] |

| Voisey's Bay | | Labrador, Canada | | Nickel / Cobalt | | ~USD 2.94B (expansion) | | ~11 Years greenfield; +6 Years expansion[22] |

| Kvanefjeld | | South Greenland | | REEs / Uranium | | Est. USD 1.5-3.0B | | Stalled (halted by 2021 Uranium Ban)[9] |

By late 2026, regulatory milestones in South Greenland underscored both progress and remaining industrial hurdles: while KoBold Metals explores Disko-Nuussuaq,[23]

Critical Metals Corp received formal Greenland Government approvals in October 2026 for its Tanbreez Mining Plan and Closure Plan covering 19 critical elements through September 8, 2050, and completed 100% project ownership in November 2026 while targeting full bankable feasibility and subsequent multi-year construction.[24][25] Even with mining approvals in hand, processing infrastructure and downstream separation facilities remain years from commercial output.[14][25] Politically, Greenland (Naalakkersuisut) and Denmark have firmly rejected territorial annexation, noting existing U.S. defense access under the 1951 agreement,[26] while warning that coercion would trigger severe alliance crises involving NATO Article 5 and European Union Treaty Article 42(7).[27][28][29]

## The Multi-Decadal Reality of Arctic Extractive Chemistry

Geopolitical mandates cannot accelerate chemical kinetics or Arctic thermodynamics. Unlocking Greenland's Il maussaq complex still depends on scaling silica-suppression cracking circuits for eudialyte, navigating Kvanefjeld's uranium co-mineralization restrictions, stabilizing thawing permafrost with thermosyphon foundations, and financing solvent-extraction refineries -- a multi-year, cooperative industrial endeavor rather than a quick territorial solution.

Updates... (Nov 22, 2026): Verified and added exact publication dates across all 29 references, corrected the author and title of Pereira (Dec 10, 2025, REMUNOM), upgraded the Mineralogical Magazine citation to Borst et al. (Feb 2020, doi:10.1180/mgm.2019.50), and replaced the undated vendor webpage on thermosyphons with Yarmak (Mar 23, 2015, OTC Arctic Technology Conference, doi:10.4043/25500-MS), building on the Nov 19, 2026 Tanbreez permitting and 100% ownership update.

## References & Primary Sources

* [1] U.S. Geological Survey (Jan 2026). Mineral Commodity Summaries 2026: Rare Earths, https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2026/mcs2026-rare-earths.pdf

* [2] The Times of India (Jan 14, 2026). Explained: How Greenland fits into Donald Trump's $175 billion Golden Dome missile shield, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/world/us/explained-how-greenland-fits-into-donald-trumps-175-billion-golden-dome-missile-shi

* [3] Danish Institute for International Studies (DIIS) (2026). Greenland takeover would require billions spent over decades for a mineral industry that doesn't yet exist, https://research.diis.dk/en/clippings/trumps-greenland-takeover-would-require-billions-upon-billions-sp/

* [4] Powers-Riggs, A., Hart, B., Funaiole, M. P., Thomsett, S., Zieleniewski, S., & Rose, T. (Jul 17, 2025). Beyond Rare Earths: China's Growing Threat to Gallium Supply Chains -- CSIS, https://www.csis.org/analysis/beyond-rare-earths-chinas-growing-threat-gallium-supply-chains

* [5] Schwartz, M., & Baskaran, G. (Jan 8, 2026). Greenland, Rare Earths, and Arctic Security -- CSIS, https://www.csis.org/analysis/greenland-rare-earths-and-arctic-security

* [6] The Times of India (Jan 2026; updated Aug 5, 2026). Russia, minerals or whim? Why Trump is after Greenland, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/world/us/russia-minerals-or-whim-why-trump-is-after-greenland-and-what-are-his-options/articl

* [7] Friis, H. (2015). Primary and secondary mineralogy of the Il maussaq alkaline complex, South Greenland. British Columbia Geological Survey Paper 2015-3, https://cmscontent.nrs.gov.bc.ca/geoscience/publicationcatalogue/Paper/BCGS_P2015-03-10_Friis.pdf

* [8] S rensen, H. (2001). The Il maussaq alkaline complex, South Greenland: status of mineralogical research with new results. Geology of Greenland Survey Bulletin 190, GEUS, https://eng.geus.dk/media/14175/nr190_p001-023.pdf

* [9] RawMaterials.net (Jan 16, 2025). Greenland's Rare Earths: Promising but Challenging, https://rawmaterials.net/greenlands-rare-earths-promising-but-challenging/

* [10] Pereira, A. C. (Dec 10, 2025). Processing Technologies for Rare Earth Elements from Peralkaline Igneous Deposits: A Systematic Review. REMUNOM, 21(2), 1-44. https://remunom.ojsbr.com/multidisciplinar/article/view/5111

* [11] Davris, P., et al. (Jul 2017). Leaching of rare earth elements from eudialyte concentrate by suppressing silica gel formation. Minerals Engineering, 108, 115-122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2016.12.011

* [12] Yun, Y., Stopic, S., & Friedrich, B. (Mar 9, 2020). Valorization of Rare Earth Elements from a Steenstrupine Concentrate Via a Combined Hydrometallurgical and Pyrometallurgical Method. Minerals, 10(3), 248. https://doi.org/10.3390/min10030248

* [13] Borst, A. M., Finch, A. A., Friis, H., et al. (Feb 2020). Structural state of rare earth elements in eudialyte-group minerals. Mineralogical Magazine, 84(1), 19-34. https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2019.50

* [14] Atlantic Council (Jan 13, 2026). Greenland's critical minerals require patient statecraft, https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/dispatches/greenlands-critical-minerals-require-patient-statecraft/

* [15] Discovery Alert (Jan 8, 2026). Greenland's Rare Earth Mining Potential and Economic Challenges, https://discoveryalert.com.au/arctic-resource-economics-greenland-mineral-cost-2026/

* [16] ConocoPhillips (Feb 28, 2020). Using Thermosyphons on Alaska's North Slope, https://www.conocophillips.com/sustainability/sustainability-news/story/using-thermosyphons-on-alaska-s-north-slope/

* [17] Yarmak, E. (Mar 23, 2015). Permafrost Foundations Thermally Stabilized Using Thermosyphons. OTC Arctic Technology Conference. https://doi.org/10.4043/25500-MS

* [18] Holubec, I. (Mar 2008). Flat Loop Thermosyphon Foundations in Warm Permafrost -- Government of Northwest Territories Infrastructure, https://www.inf.gov.nt.ca/sites/inf/files/flat_loop_thermosyphon_foundations_in_warm_permafrost.pdf

* [19] European Business Magazine (Jan 7, 2026). Trump's Greenland Ambitions: Geopolitics and Resources, https://europeanbusinessmagazine.com/editors-choice/the-business-case-behind-trumps-greenland-ambitions-a-comprehensive-analy

* [20] The Indian Express (Jan 11, 2026). 3 reasons Greenland's minerals have stayed underground, https://indianexpress.com/article/explained/explained-global/trump-greenland-mineral-3-reasons-no-mining-10467805/

* [21] Baffinland Iron Mines Corporation (Feb 2012). Mary River Project

Environmental Impact Statement Popular Summary, https://www.baffinland.com/_resources/pdf/EIS-Popular-Summary-English.pdf

* [22] Vale Base Metals (Dec 3, 2024). Vale Base Metals complete Voisey's Bay transition to underground mining, https://vale.com/w/vale-base-metals-complete-voisey-s-bay-transition-to-underground-mining

* [23] India Today (Jan 13, 2026). Tech exploration firms planning projects in Greenland, https://www.indiatoday.in/technology/news/story/greenland-not-yet-in-trump-control-bill-gates-and-other-tech-tycoons-already-plan

* [24] Critical Metals Corp. (Oct 5, 2026). Critical Metals Corp. Announces Receipt of Formal Greenland Government Mining Approvals for the Tanbreez Mine, Valid Through 2050, https://www.criticalmetalscorp.com/critical-metals-corp-nasdaq-crml-announces-receipt-of-formal-greenland-government-mining-ap

* [25] Critical Metals Corp. (Nov 11, 2026). Critical Metals Corp. Delivers 2026 Objectives: 100% of Tanbreez and 2027 Roadmap, https://www.criticalmetalscorp.com/critical-metals-corp-nasdaq-crml-delivers-2026-objectives-100-of-tanbreez-us264-5-million-in-c

* [26] German Marshall Fund of the United States (Jan 13, 2026). Greenland Is Strategic: But It Is Not a Pawn, https://www.gmfus.org/news/greenland-strategic-it-not-pawn

* [27] Avalon Project, Yale Law School (Apr 4, 1949). The North Atlantic Treaty, Article 5, https://avalon.law.yale.edu/20th_century/nato.asp

* [28] European External Action Service (EEAS) (Oct 6, 2022). Article 42(7) TEU: The EU's Mutual Assistance Clause, https://www.eeas.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/Article%2042%287%29%20TEU%20-The%20EU%27s%20mutual%20ass

* [29] Opinio Juris (Jan 12, 2026). The Art of the Steal: Does the EU's Mutual Assistance Clause Protect Greenland?, https://opiniojuris.org/2026/01/12/the-art-of-the-steal-does-the-eus-mutual-assistance-clause-protect-greenland/