Climate & Earth Systems | Jan 18, 2026 | 10 min read | By Bryan White

Why is the US Risking a Trade Conflict Over Greenland?

Environment * Climate Change * Ethics

Introduction: The Transatlantic Flashpoint Over Greenland

In January 2026, macroeconomic instability, critical resource competition, and polar security converged on Greenland before diplomatic talks defused an acute transatlantic trade crisis later in the year. Accelerated by Arctic amplification and the progressive retreat of the Greenland Ice Sheet, the island's strategic value triggered a sharp clash between the United States' domestic industrial trajectory and its foreign policy posture toward the High North. On January 17, 2026, Washington escalated its territorial demands into an explicit trade ultimatum against eight European NATO allies tied to Greenland.[1][2]

Formalized through administrative directives linking Greenland's strategic positioning to the proposed $175 billion "Golden Dome" missile defense architecture,[3] Washington threatened Denmark, Sweden, France, Germany, the Netherlands, Finland, the United Kingdom, and Norway with a 10 percent tariff on all goods effective February 1, 2026, escalating to 25 percent by June 1 unless Greenland sovereignty talks moved forward.[1][2][4] In response, European leaders mobilized Regulation (EU) 2023/2675 -- the Anti-Coercion Instrument (ACI) -- until NATO Secretary General Mark Rutte mediated an Arctic security framework at Davos on January 21, 2026, prompting the withdrawal of the February 1 tariffs and paving the way for a formal trilateral U.S.-Denmark-Greenland security agreement signed at United Nations Headquarters on September 22, 2026.[2][4][5][38]

The US Macroeconomic Landscape: Sturdy Headlines and the "Termite" Effect

While the IMF's January 2026 World Economic Outlook projected steady 3.3 percent global growth in 2026 alongside FOMC projections placing U.S. expansion near 2.3 percent -- buoyed by fiscal stimulus and artificial intelligence capital expenditure -- headline resilience masked sharp contraction across domestic manufacturing.[6][7][8] Bureau of Labor Statistics data showed the U.S. shed 8,000 manufacturing jobs in December 2025, capping eight consecutive months of contraction totaling 70,000 lost factory jobs since the April 2025 tariffs.[6] The ISM Purchasing Managers' Index (PMI) fell to 47.9 in December 2025 (its tenth straight month below 50), with 43 percent of manufacturing GDP in contraction (PMI 45).[6] Economists describe this structural erosion as a "termite" effect: tariffs on steel, aluminum, and intermediate inputs gradually hollow out physical production and dampen capital investment.[9][11]

Inflationary stickiness compounded the vulnerability. At 2.7 percent year-over-year entering 2026, U.S. CPI had exceeded the Federal Reserve's 2 percent target for 58 consecutive months, driven by a 3.4 percent rise in Owner's Equivalent Rent and a 0.7 percent monthly jump in December food prices, with peak 2025 tariff pass-through projected for Q2 2026.[10] Dynamic scoring by the Tax Foundation estimated that

negative output effects reduced projected 10-year tariff revenues from $2.2 trillion to $1.7 trillion while imposing an estimated $1,500 average annual burden per U.S. household in 2026.[11][12]

Geostrategic Imperatives: The "Golden Dome" and Critical Minerals

Washington's push for tighter security guarantees in Greenland centered on two strategic imperatives: polar hypersonic intercept geometry and supply-chain sovereignty over rare earth elements (REEs).

* The Golden Dome Architecture: Envisioned to counter hypersonic glide vehicles (HGVs) and autonomous threats, the $175 billion Golden Dome concept integrates polar space-based infrared sensors, boost/mid-course interceptors, and command nodes.[3][13] Located along the Eurasian-North American Great Circle route and hosting Pituffik Space Base's phased-array radars, Greenland offers critical tracking geometry -- though defense analysts emphasized that the 1951 Defense of Greenland Agreement already provided expansive U.S. military basing access without territorial transfer.[3][14][16]

* Tanbreez vs. Kvanefjeld Rare Earth Deposits: Ranking eighth globally in rare earth reserves, Greenland hosts the large Tanbreez and Kvanefjeld deposits containing neodymium, praseodymium, dysprosium, and terbium essential for permanent magnets and precision defense hardware.[15] At Tanbreez -- rich in heavy rare earths, zirconium, and niobium with low radioactivity -- Critical Metals Corp. signed a $1.5 billion joint venture term sheet in January 2026 to develop processing capacity with Saudi partners for Western supply chains without requiring territorial annexation.[17] Conversely, Kvanefjeld -- partially backed by China's Shenghe Resources -- remained stalled under Greenland's 2021 uranium mining ban and an $11.5 billion investor-state arbitration dispute.[15][18][19]

Weaponizing Trade: Sectoral Exposure Across European Industry

The proposed 10-25 percent blanket tariff across eight European allies threatened deeply integrated transatlantic supply chains:[1][2]

* German and Swedish Automotive: Following a 14 percent drop in German U.S.-bound auto exports during 2025 under a 15 percent base tariff, a 25 percent duty threatened to triple Volkswagen's 5 billion tariff burden and add $4,000 per vehicle in imported powertrain costs for U.S.-assembled BMW and Mercedes-Benz models -- raising U.S. new car prices by 3 percent.[12][20][21][22]

* UK Pharmaceuticals and French Luxury: The January ultimatum threatened to override the recent UK-U.S. Section 232 pharmaceutical exemption -- exposing 5 billion in British drug exports despite NHS pricing concessions and risking intermediate active pharmaceutical ingredient flows tied to Ireland and Denmark.[23][24][25] Simultaneously, a 25 percent duty plus removal of the $800 de minimis exemption pressured French luxury margins (LVMH, Herm s) while European macro assessments warned of renewed industrial drag across Germany.[25][26][27]

| Sector | | Key Impacted Nations | | Estimated Exposure (Jan 2026) | | Strategic Context |

| Automotive | | Germany, Sweden | | Exports down ~14% (2025); 26bn risk | | High

U.S. integration; component tariffs risked raising U.S. assembly costs by ~$4,000/vehicle. |

| Pharmaceuticals | | UK, Ireland, Denmark | | 5bn+ UK exposure; deal threatened | | UK-U.S. Section 232 exemption threatened by Jan 17 ultimatum despite NHS concessions. |

| Luxury Goods | | France, Italy | | Margin & demand compression | | De minimis loss plus threatened 25% tariff tested European luxury pricing elasticity. |

| Critical Minerals | | Greenland (Denmark) | | Kvanefjeld litigation ($11.5bn) | | Allied development of Tanbreez vs. Chinese stake stalled at Kvanefjeld. |

The European Counter-Offensive and the 2026 Diplomatic Off-Ramp

Bypassing the paralyzed WTO appellate body, the EU's Anti-Coercion Instrument (Regulation 2023/2675) empowers the European Commission -- via Qualified Majority Voting (QMV) -- to retaliate against economic coercion with customs duties, import quotas, public procurement bans, and financial services restrictions.[5][28][29] Following an emergency Brussels session on January 18, 2026, European leaders, European Parliament Trade Chair Bernd Lange, and German engineering federations moved to freeze the 2025 EU-U.S. trade framework and readied a 93 billion counter-tariff list targeting U.S. agriculture, industrial goods, and aerospace.[30][31][32][33]

Just three days later, on January 21, 2026, at the World Economic Forum in Davos, NATO Secretary General Mark Rutte brokered sideline talks that shifted U.S. demands away from sovereignty transfer toward reinforced Arctic security cooperation -- prompting President Trump to withdraw the February 1 tariff threat.[4] Following eight months of trilateral negotiations, U.S. President Donald Trump, Danish Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen, and Greenlandic Prime Minister Jens-Frederik Nielsen formally signed a trilateral Arctic security agreement at UN Headquarters on September 22, 2026.[38][39] Amending the 1951 Defense Agreement, the accord authorizes expanded U.S. defense infrastructure in Greenland while explicitly recognizing Danish sovereignty and the Greenlandic people's right to self-determination.[38][40]

| Date | | Event | | Significance |

| Dec 2025 | | U.S. Factory Contraction (PMI 47.9) | | 8th straight month of manufacturing job losses (-70,000 since April 2025). |

| Jan 17-18, 2026 | | Tariff Ultimatum & EU ACI Mobilization | | U.S. announces 10-25% tariffs on 8 allies; EU prepares 93bn ACI retaliation. |

| Jan 21, 2026 | | Davos NATO Framework & Tariff Withdrawal | | Talks with NATO SecGen Mark Rutte yield Arctic framework; Feb 1 tariffs dropped. |

| Sept 22, 2026 | | UN Trilateral Arctic Security Agreement | | U.S., Denmark, and Greenland amend 1951 pact, preserving sovereignty and self-determination. |

Conclusion: Alliance Resilience After Coercive Leverage

The 2026 Greenland episode exposed both the fragility and ultimate resilience of the transatlantic order. Threatening NATO allies with punitive tariffs over sovereign

territory prompted coordinated European counter-coercion mobilization, bipartisan congressional pushback prohibiting military coercion against NATO states, and warnings that economic brinkmanship risks driving European exports toward Beijing.[34][35][36][37] While the January 21 Davos off-ramp and September 22 UN trilateral agreement averted a transatlantic trade war and secured Arctic missile-defense cooperation without altering sovereignty,[4][38][40] the crisis demonstrated how swiftly tariff brinkmanship can destabilize allied industrial supply chains.

Updates... (Nov 22, 2026): Enriched all Works Cited entries with verified publication dates (including DB-flagged Refs #1-#3, #5-#6, and #10-#12 plus Refs #9, #13-#34, and #36-#37), removed placeholder access dates, added Bruegel author attribution (Barata da Rocha et al., 2025), and replaced paywalled POLITICO Pro URL in Ref #35 with CNN reporting (Jan 6, 2026).

Works Cited

* The Times of India (2026, Jan 17). Lashing out at Europe, Trump announces 'Greenland tariffs'. https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/business/international-business/lashing-out-at-europe-trump-announces-greenland-tariffs/articles

* The Guardian (2026, Jan 17). European leaders warn of 'downward spiral' after Trump threatens tariffs over Greenland. https://www.theguardian.com/world/live/2026/jan/17/hands-off-greenland-protests-denmark-us-donald-trump-europe-latest-news-up

* The Times of India (2026, Jan 15). Explained: How Greenland fits into Donald Trump's $175 billion Golden Dome missile shield. https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/world/us/explained-how-greenland-fits-into-donald-trumps-175-billion-golden-dome-missile-shi

* FactCheck.org (2026, Jan 22). Trump's Claims About Greenland. https://www.factcheck.org/2026/01/trumps-claims-about-greenland/

* WilmerHale (2024, Apr 1; updated 2025, Apr 2). The EU Anti-Coercion Regulation: A New Tool Against Economic Pressure. https://www.wilmerhale.com/en/insights/client-alerts/20240401-the-eu-anti-coercion-regulation-a-new-tool-against-economic-pressu

* Washington Examiner (2026, Jan 15). Trump's tariffs aren't raising prices, but they are shrinking America's manufacturing workforce. https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/policy/finance-and-economy/4418898/trumps-tariffs-not-raising-prices-shrinking-country-ma

* Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (2025, Dec 10). FOMC Projections materials, accessible version. https://www.federalreserve.gov/monetarypolicy/fomcprojtabl20251210.htm

* International Monetary Fund (2026, Jan 19). World Economic Outlook Update, January 2026: Global Economy: Steady amid Divergent Forces. https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/Issues/2026/01/19/world-economic-outlook-update-january-2026

* Peterson Institute for International Economics (2026, Jan 12). What PIIE researchers will be watching in 2026. https://www.piie.com/blogs/realtime-economics/2026/what-piie-researchers-will-be-watching-2026

* RBC Economics (2026, Jan 13). U.S. inflation stills stuck above comfort level. https://www.rbc.com/en/economics/us-analysis/us-data-flashes/u-s-inflation-stills-stuck-above-comfort-level/

* Tax Foundation (2026, Sep 10; updated 2026, Nov 10). Trump Tariffs: Tracking the Economic Impact of the Trump Trade War. https://taxfoundation.org/research/all/federal/trump-tariffs-trade-war/

* J.P. Morgan Global Research (2025, Sep 23). Auto Tariffs: Who Will Pay? https://www.jpmorgan.com/insights/global-research/autos/auto-tariffs

* India Today (2026, Jan 15). Trump says US needs Greenland for Golden Dome. What is it? https://www.indiatoday.in/world/us-news/story/trump-pushes-us-control-greenland-golden-dome-missile-defence-arctic-security-glb

* Defense One (2026, Jan 14). Trump's Golden Dome excuse for Greenland grab is 'detached from reality,' experts say. https://www.defenseone.com/threats/2026/01/trumps-golden-dome-excuse-greenland-grab-detached-reality-experts-say/410693/

* Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) (2026, Jan 8). Greenland, Rare Earths, and Arctic Security. https://www.csis.org/analysis/greenland-rare-earths-and-arctic-security

* Atlantic Council (2026, Jan 18). The US and NATO can avoid catastrophe over Greenland and emerge stronger. Here's how. https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/dispatches/the-us-and-nato-can-avoid-catastrophe-over-greenland-and-emerge-stronger-heres-how/

* GlobeNewswire (2026, Jan 15). Critical Metals Corp. Nasdaq-CRML Executes a Term Sheet for a 50-50 Joint Venture. https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2026/01/15/3219652/0/en/Critical-Metals-Corp-Nasdaq-CRML-Executes-a-Term-Sh

* Atlantic Council (2026, Jan 13). Greenland's critical minerals require patient statecraft. https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/dispatches/greenlands-critical-minerals-require-patient-statecraft/

* The Guardian (2025, Mar 5). Fearing toxic waste, Greenland ended uranium mining. Now, they could be forced to restart - or pay $11bn. https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2025/mar/05/greenland-mining-energy-transition-minerals-environmental-laws-uranium-

* GMK Center (2025, Dec 23). German auto industry significantly affected by US tariffs - study. https://gmk.center/en/news/german-auto-industry-significantly-affected-by-us-tariffs-study/

* Dealership Guy (2025, Dec 30). Tariff impact grows for German carmakers as U.S. market tightens. https://news.dealershipguy.com/p/tariff-impact-grows-for-german-carmakers-as-u-s-market-tightens-2025-12-30

* Rabobank (2025, Jun; rev. 2026, Oct 22). Assessing the impact of US tariffs on the European automotive sector. https://www.rabobank.com/knowledge/d011480100-assessing-the-impact-of-us-tariffs-on-the-european-automotive-sector

* GHY International (2025, Dec 3). U.S. Exempts U.K. Pharmaceuticals from Section 232 Tariffs. https://www.ghy.com/trade-compliance/us-uk-pharmaceuticals-section-232/

* Morgan Lewis (2025, Dec 4). UK-US Pharmaceutical Trade Deal: Impact on UK Drug Pricing, Tariffs, and Investment. https://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/2025/12/uk-us-pharmaceutical-trade-deal-impact-on-uk-drug-pricing-tariffs-and-investment

* Barata da Rocha, M., Boivin, N., & Poitiers, N. (2025, Apr 17). The economic impact of Trump's tariffs on Europe: an initial assessment. Bruegel.

https://www.bruegel.org/analysis/economic-impact-trumps-tariffs-europe-initial-assessment

* Luxury Daily (2025, Aug 19). Tariffs and their effect on luxury goods: Even the 'de minimis' exemption is no more. https://www.luxurydaily.com/tariffs-and-their-effect-on-luxury-goods-even-the-de-minimis-exemption-is-no-more/

* Jing Daily (2025, Sep 3). How Trump's tariffs are reshaping luxury pricing strategies. https://jingdaily.com/posts/how-trump-s-tariffs-are-reshaping-luxury-pricing-strategies

* European Commission (2022, Jan 18; updated 2026, Nov 16). Protecting against coercion - Trade and Economic Security. https://policy.trade.ec.europa.eu/enforcement-and-protection/protecting-against-coercion_en

* Yahoo News Canada (2026, Jan 18). What is the EU's anti-coercion instrument, and how does it work? https://ca.news.yahoo.com/eus-anti-coercion-instrument-does-183949470.html

* Xinhua (2026, Jan 18). EU parliament trade chief urges anti-coercion response after Trump Greenland tariff threat. http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/europe/20260118/f2bdf0d17bcb4d00a96481e374623335/c.html

* Daily Finland (2026, Jan 18). German industry urges EU to resist Trump trade threats over Greenland. https://www.dailyfinland.fi/europe/47362/German-industry-urges-EU-to-resist-Trump-trade-threats-over-Greenland

* China Daily (2026, Jan 19). EU considering hitting US with 93 bln euro worth of tariffs: media. https://global.chinadaily.com.cn/a/202601/19/WS696d2f06a310d6866eb345c4.html

* Livemint (2026, Jan 18). EU-US trade deal to be called off as Trump announces 10% more tariffs? Here's what we know. https://www.livemint.com/news/world/euus-trade-deal-to-be-called-off-as-trump-announces-10-more-tariffs-heres-what-we-know-11

* The Guardian (2026, Jan 12). What can the EU and Nato do to stop Trump from trying to claim Greenland? https://www.theguardian.com/world/2026/jan/12/what-can-the-eu-and-nato-do-to-stop-trump-from-trying-to-claim-greenland

* CNN (2026, Jan 6). US 'discussing a range of options' to acquire Greenland, White House says. https://www.cnn.com/2026/01/06/politics/us-options-greenland-military

* The Times of India (2026, Jan 18). Now, Trump tariffs Europe over Greenland: And the winner is China -- explained. https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/business/international-business/now-trump-tariffs-europe-over-greenland-and-the-winner-is-chin

* Rep. Steny Hoyer (2026, Jan 14). Bipartisan Legislation Prohibiting a U.S. Invasion of a NATO State Introduced. https://hoyer.house.gov/media/press-releases/bipartisan-legislation-prohibiting-us-invasion-nato-state-introduced

* Prime Minister's Office of Denmark (Statsministeriet, 2026, Sep 22). Agreement between Greenland, Denmark and the United States. https://english.stm.dk/press/press-releases/agreement-between-greenland-denmark-and-the-united-states/

* The Guardian (2026, Sep 22). US to build new military bases in Greenland as deal seeks to end tensions between Nato allies. https://www.theguardian.com/world/live/2026/sep/22/eu-trump-russia-putin-sanctions-ukraine-greenland-denmark-zelenskyy-latest-

* The Guardian (2026, Sep 24). After so long in Trump's sights, hopeful Greenlanders breathe a collective sigh of relief. https://www.theguardian.com/world/2026/sep/24/greenland-trump-us-security-agreement-relief