Ecology & Evolution | Jan 2, 2026 | 9 min read | By Bryan White

Xenobots Explained: A Deep Dive into Programmable Living Machines

Biology * AI * Evolution

Introduction: Dissolving the Boundary Between Organism and Machine

The emergence of Xenobots -- programmable biological machines assembled from embryonic cells of the African clawed frog (Xenopus laevis) -- marks a foundational paradigm shift across robotics, synthetic biology, and developmental biophysics.[1][4] First unveiled in 2020 by an interdisciplinary coalition spanning Tufts University (led by developmental biologist Michael Levin), the University of Vermont (led by computer scientist and roboticist Joshua Bongard), and Harvard University's Wyss Institute (including microsurgeon Douglas Blackiston), these living constructs challenge the classical dichotomy between evolved organisms and engineered machines.[1][3][10]

Historically, robotics has relied on rigid synthetic actuators -- metals, polymers, and silicon -- governed by deterministic code, which lack intrinsic self-repair, biodegradability, and cellular biocompatibility.[1] Conversely, developmental biology long treated organismal morphogenesis as an invariant trajectory hardcoded by a species' genomic blueprint. Xenobots demonstrate that cellular hardware can be decoupled from its default evolutionary trajectory without altering a single base pair of DNA.[3] Retaining the wild-type Xenopus genome, these reconfigurable organisms derive their novel behaviors -- from directed locomotion and molecular memory to kinematic self-replication -- entirely from their engineered 3D geometry and intercellular bioelectric communication.[3][4][16]

Evolutionary Algorithms and Bridging the Sim-to-Real Gap

Before a single embryonic cell is manipulated in the wet lab, each Xenobot architecture is evolved in silico on the University of Vermont's Deep Green supercomputer cluster.[1][8] Because the collective biomechanics of thousands of compliant, viscoelastic cells interacting in fluid are highly non-linear, human engineers cannot intuitively design optimal cellular topologies.[15][10] Instead, Bongard's team deployed an evolutionary algorithm modeled on biological natural selection:[8][10]

* Random Initialization & Voxel Modeling: The algorithm generates thousands of candidate 3D voxel lattices populated by two distinct tissue types: passive epidermal progenitors (structural chassis) and active contractile cardiomyocytes (phase-locked motors).[5][2]

* Biophysical Simulation: Candidate morphologies are evaluated inside a soft-body physics engine that models intercellular adhesion, elastic deformation, fluid drag, and rhythmic muscle contraction.[2][10]

* Fitness Selection & Mutation: Topologies that fail to achieve the target objective function -- such as net forward displacement, object pushing, or particle

aggregation -- are culled.[10] High-scoring designs are mutated and recombined across hundreds of computational generations.[5][8]

* Noise Injection for Sim-to-Real Transfer: Because living tissues exhibit stochastic biological noise, the algorithm injects random perturbations into simulated contraction phases and friction parameters, selecting only for ultra-robust geometries capable of crossing the "sim-to-real gap" into physical tissue.[10][12]

From Contractile Walkers (Gen 1.0) to Ciliated Swarms and Kinematic Self-Replication (Gen 2.0 & 3.0)

Across three rapid generations between 2020 and late 2021, the Xenobot platform evolved from manually sculpted muscle walkers to self-assembling, self-replicating swarms.[3][10][11]

Xenobots 1.0 (Contractile Walkers): Fabricated via top-down microsurgery, blastula-stage Xenopus stem cells were dissociated, re-aggregated, and manually sculpted by Douglas Blackiston using micro-forceps and cautery electrodes to match Deep Green's quadrupedal blueprints.[1][10] Powered by endogenous yolk platelets for 7 to 10 days, asymmetric clusters of cardiac muscle cells contracted rhythmically against passive skin scaffolding, enabling coherent walking, circular patrolling, and pellet pushing -- as well as autonomous wound closure within five minutes of severe mechanical laceration.[5][11][13]

Xenobots 2.0 (Self-Assembling Ciliated Swarms & Molecular Memory): Unveiled in March 2021, Generation 2.0 replaced labor-intensive microsurgery with bottom-up biological self-assembly and shifted propulsion from cardiac muscle to motile cilia.[6][11] Normally used to clear mucus across frog respiratory epithelia, beating cilia arranged across self-organized epidermal spheroids acted as thousands of coordinated micro-oars, yielding faster swimming speeds, longer lifespans, and parallel fabrication of thousands of units.[11][17] Furthermore, by injecting stems cells with messenger RNA (mRNA) encoding the photoconvertible fluorescent protein EosFP, the team endowed Xenobots 2.0 with read/write molecular memory: bots traversing a 390 nm blue-light beam underwent a permanent conformational shift from green to red fluorescence, recording their environmental history.[11]

Xenobots 3.0 (Kinematic Self-Replication): Published in PNAS in late 2021, Generation 3.0 demonstrated a mode of reproduction never before observed in multicellular organisms.[3][16] Rather than reproducing via asexual fission or meiosis, ciliated Xenobots swimming through dishes of loose, dissociated stem cells mechanically swept the loose cells into dense piles (>50 cells), which adhered via surface proteins and matured into motile, ciliated second-generation offspring.[13][14][16] Whereas spherical parents died out after one generation, Deep Green evolved a semi-toroidal, "Pac-Man" C-shaped topology whose concave mouth scooped and compacted loose cells with high efficiency, sustaining up to four consecutive generations of kinematic replication.[15][16]

| Platform Parameter | | Xenobots 1.0 (Jan 2020) | | Xenobots 2.0 (Mar 2021) | | Xenobots 3.0 (Nov 2021) | | Human Anthrobots (Nov 2023) |

| Cellular Source | | Xenopus laevis Embryonic Skin & Heart | | Xenopus laevis

Epidermal Stem Cells | | Xenopus laevis Epidermal Stem Cells | | Adult Human Tracheal Epithelium |

| Primary Actuation | | Contractile Cardiomyocytes | | Coordinated Motile Cilia | | Coordinated Motile Cilia | | Outward-Facing Motile Cilia |

| Fabrication Method | | Top-Down Microsurgical Sculpting | | Bottom-Up Spheroid Self-Assembly | | AI-Shaped C-Toroid ("Pac-Man") | | Matrigel Organoid Inside-Out Turnover |

| Signature Capability | | Directed Walking & Self-Healing | | Rapid Swarming & EosFP Memory | | Multi-Generational Kinematic Replication | | In Vitro Human Neural Wound Bridging |

| Genetic Status | | Wild-Type Diploid Frog Genome | | Wild-Type + Exogenous EosFP mRNA | | Wild-Type Diploid Frog Genome | | 100% Wild-Type Patient-Specific Human |

Human Anthrobots, Morphogenetic Plasticity, and Regenerative Medicine

In late 2023, PhD researcher Gizem Gumuskaya and Michael Levin extended synthetic morphology to adult human tissue by engineering Anthrobots from unedited adult human tracheal epithelial cells.[7][18] Cultured in 3D extracellular matrix (Matrigel) using a protocol that everts apical ciliated surfaces from the interior lumen to the exterior cortex, individual human cells self-assemble into motile, ciliated biobots without requiring microsurgery or genetic modification.[7][19]

When deployed across a mechanically lacerated 2D monolayer of human neurons in vitro, Anthrobots spontaneously aggregated into "superbot" bridges spanning the lesion and induced rapid, robust axonal regrowth across the gap -- demonstrating active pro-regenerative signaling by unmodified somatic cells.[7][20][24] Theoretically, patient-autologous Anthrobots could be deployed to clear atherosclerotic arterial plaques, deliver targeted payloads to cancer microenvironments, or repair spinal cord lesions without triggering host immune rejection, while amphibian Xenobots offer biodegradable microplastic aggregation in aquatic ecosystems.[7][9][4]

Mechanistically, both platforms validate Levin's theory of morphogenetic plasticity: liberated from standard embryonic boundary constraints, somatic cells draw upon latent genomic subroutines -- including embryonic and ancient regulatory gene networks -- to establish novel multicellular homeostasis and collective intelligence.[3] In June 2025, Gumuskaya et al. mapped the full transcriptomic life cycle of Anthrobots, demonstrating that adult tracheal cells alter the expression of more than 9,000 genes -- effectively executing a partial developmental age reversal without any DNA modification.[21]

Biosecurity, Governance, and Ethical Implications

While self-replicating biological machines provoke concern over "grey goo" proliferation or dual-use delivery, Xenobot kinematic replication carries a strict thermodynamic failsafe: it requires dense suspensions of dissociated embryonic frog stem cells absent in nature.[3][22] Moreover, classical Xenobots and Anthrobots lack neural tissue and biodegrade naturally within weeks.[4][5][22] However, in February

2026, Fotowat, Levin, and colleagues reported the creation of "neurobots" -- Xenopus biobots incorporating self-organizing neural networks that confer novel locomotory patterns and distinct transcriptional states -- highlighting why biohybrid constructs require specialized, proactive governance frameworks covering interactivity, integrability, and moral status beyond classical IACUC and GMO categories.[22][23]

Conclusion: The Engineering of Synthetic Morphology

By closing the loop between evolutionary AI design on the Deep Green supercomputer and cellular self-organization in the laboratory, the Xenobot and Anthrobot programs have established a new scientific discipline: the engineering of synthetic morphology.[2][3] Demonstrating that unmodified frog and human genomes can be guided into ciliated, memory-capable, self-replicating, neuron-healing, and even neural-integrated living machines, this research transforms biology from a fixed evolutionary script into a programmable, patient-compatible therapeutic medium.[3][7][23][24]

Updates... (Nov 21, 2026): Incorporated 2025-2026 research milestones on Anthrobot transcriptomic life cycles (Gumuskaya et al., June 2025) and self-organizing neural "neurobots" (Fotowat et al., February 2026), replaced unavailable and empty external links with verified Advanced Science and PNAS DOIs, enriched Reference #22 with full author and journal metadata, removed duplicate adjacent inline citations, and added exact publication dates across references.

References & Primary Sources

* [1] Tufts Now (January 16, 2020). Living Machines Are Created in the Lab. -- https://now.tufts.edu/2020/01/16/living-machines-are-created-lab

* [2] Blackiston, D., Lederer, E., Kriegman, S., Garnier, S., Bongard, J., & Levin, M. (March 17, 2021). A cellular platform for the development of synthetic living machines. Science Robotics, 6(52), eabf1571. -- https://doi.org/10.1126/scirobotics.abf1571

* [3] Wyss Institute at Harvard University (November 29, 2021). Team builds first living robots -- that can reproduce. -- https://wyss.harvard.edu/news/team-builds-first-living-robots-that-can-reproduce/

* [4] Blackiston, D., Kriegman, S., Bongard, J., & Levin, M. (August 2023). Biological Robots: Perspectives on an Emerging Interdisciplinary Field. Soft Robotics, 10(4), 674-686. -- https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10442684/

* [5] University of Melbourne (January 19, 2020). Not bot, not beast: scientists create first ever living, programmable organism. -- https://findanexpert.unimelb.edu.au/news/9267-not-bot--not-beast--scientists-create-first-ever-living--programmable-organism

* [6] SingularityHub (April 2, 2021). Xenobots 2.0: These Living Robots Self-Assemble From Frog Skin Cells. -- https://singularityhub.com/2021/04/02/scientists-made-living-self-healing-robots-from-frog-skin-cells/

* [7] Wyss Institute at Harvard University (November 30, 2023). Scientists build tiny biological robots from human cells. --

https://wyss.harvard.edu/news/scientists-build-tiny-biological-robots-from-human-cells/

* [8] University of Vermont (January 13, 2020). Team Builds the First Living Robots. -- https://www.uvm.edu/uvmnews/news/team-builds-first-living-robots

* [9] New Atlas (January 14, 2020). Artificial lifeforms designed by supercomputers are fully programmable. -- https://newatlas.com/biology/programmable-artificial-lifeforms-xenobots/

* [10] Kriegman, S., Blackiston, D., Levin, M., & Bongard, J. (January 13, 2020). A scalable pipeline for designing reconfigurable organisms. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117(4), 1853-1859. -- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1910837117

* [11] Tufts Now (March 31, 2021). Scientists Create the Next Generation of Living Robots. -- https://now.tufts.edu/2021/03/31/scientists-create-next-generation-living-robots

* [12] The Scientist (June 14, 2024). The Emergence of Xenobots: From Code to Creature. -- https://www.the-scientist.com/from-code-to-creature-71877

* [13] Lab Manager (July 27, 2023). Xenobots: Living Robots that Make Science Fiction a Reality. -- https://www.labmanager.com/xenobots-living-robots-that-make-science-fiction-a-reality-30661

* [14] Science News (December 3, 2021). Tiny living machines called xenobots can create copies of themselves. -- https://www.sciencenews.org/article/tiny-living-machines-xenobots-replicate-copies-frog-cells

* [15] WUNC (December 1, 2021). Living robots made in a lab have found a new way to self-replicate, researchers say. -- https://www.wunc.org/2021-12-01/living-robots-made-in-a-lab-have-found-a-new-way-to-self-replicate-researchers-say

* [16] Kriegman, S., Blackiston, D., Levin, M., & Bongard, J. (November 29, 2021). Kinematic self-replication in reconfigurable organisms. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(49), e2112672118. -- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2112672118

* [17] Quanta Magazine (March 31, 2021). Cells Form Into 'Xenobots' on Their Own. -- https://www.quantamagazine.org/cells-form-into-xenobots-on-their-own-20210331/

* [18] Tufts Now (November 30, 2023). Scientists Build Tiny Biological Robots from Human Cells. -- https://now.tufts.edu/2023/11/30/scientists-build-tiny-biological-robots-human-cells

* [19] Gumuskaya, G., Srivastava, P., Cooper, B. G., Lesser, H., Semegran, B., Garnier, S., & Levin, M. (November 30, 2023; print January 2024). Motile Living Biobots Self-Construct from Adult Human Somatic Progenitor Seed Cells. Advanced Science, 11(4), 2303575. -- https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202303575

* [20] RegMedNet (December 12, 2023). Biological robots can repair damaged neural tissue. -- https://www.regmednet.com/developing-biological-robots-to-repair-damaged-neural-tissue/

* [21] Gumuskaya, G., Davey, N., Srivastava, P., Bender, A., Pio-Lopez, L., Hazel, D., & Levin, M. (June 6, 2025). The Morphological, Behavioral, and Transcriptomic

Life Cycle of Anthrobots. Advanced Science, 12(30), 2409330. -- https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202409330

* [22] Mestre, R., Astobiza, A. M., Webster-Wood, V. A., Ryan, M., & Saif, M. T. A. (July 23, 2024). Ethics and responsibility in biohybrid robotics research. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 121(31), e2310458121. -- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2310458121

* [23] Fotowat, H., O'Neill, L., Pio-Lopez, L., Sperry, M. M., Erickson, P., Lin, T., & Levin, M. (February 20, 2026). Engineered Living Systems With Self-Organizing Neural Networks: From Anatomy to Behavior and Gene Expression. Advanced Science, e08967. -- https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202508967

* [24] Tufts Now (March 22, 2024). With Living Robots, Scientists Unlock Cells' Power to Heal. -- https://now.tufts.edu/2024/03/22/living-robots-scientists-unlock-cells-power-heal